Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



Information Sciences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ins

Generalizations of the Chebyshev-type inequality for Choquet-like expectation

Hamzeh Agahi^a, Adel Mohammadpour^{a,*}, Radko Mesiar^{b,c}

^a Department of Statistics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, 424, Hafez Ave., Tehran 15914, Iran ^b Department of Mathematics and Descriptive Geometry, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Slovak University of Technology, SK-81368 Bratislava, Slovakia ^c Institute of Information Theory and Automation, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Pod vodarenskou vezi 4, 182 08 Praha 8, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 January 2012 Received in revised form 10 July 2012 Accepted 18 February 2013 Available online 26 February 2013

Keywords: Pseudo-additive measure Pseudo-additive integral Chebyshev's inequality Choquet-like expectation

ABSTRACT

This paper proves generalizations of the Chebyshev-type inequality for Choquet-like expectation. Some previous results are obtained as corollaries. Crown Copyright © 2013 Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Integral inequalities play important roles in classical probability and measure theory. We recall few famous classical inequalities, such as Hölder's, Minkowski's and Chebyshev's inequalities. In these inequalities rather often the additivity of the Riemann (Lebesgue) integral is substantially exploited. On the other hand, there are several non-additive integrals, such as the Choquet or Sugeno integrals, which have shown to be extremely useful in applications, especially when dealing with non-additive data in economics, sociology, psychology, multicriteria decision aid, etc.

The Choquet integral (also known as Choquet expectation) is a generalization of the Lebesgue integral, defined with respect to a non-classical measure, often called non-additive measure or also capacity. This integral was created by the French mathematician Gustave Choquet [12]. The Choquet integral shares several properties with the Lebesgue integral, including some inequalities. Recently, the study of integral inequalities has been extended to some more general classes of integrals [1,3,25,33].

Sugeno integral is an important kind of non-additive integrals which was introduced by Sugeno [36] and then was exploited by many authors [30,31,41]. Sugeno integral is a useful tool in several theoretical and applied statistics. For instance, in decision theory, the use of the Sugeno integral can be envisaged from two points of view: decision under uncertainty and multi-criteria decision-making [15]. Notice that the Sugeno integral is not an extension of the Lebesgue integral.

The study of inequalities for Sugeno integral was initiated by Román-Flores et al. [32], and then followed by the authors [1,2,18,26,27,29]. In [10], a Chebyshev type inequality for a special case for Sugeno integral was obtained which has been generalized by Ouyang et al. [27]. Furthermore, Chebyshev type inequalities for Sugeno integral were proposed in a rather general form by Mesiar et al. [2,26]. Later on, Girotto and Holzer [18] proved a characterization of comonotonicity property by a Chebyshev type inequality for Sugeno integral.

Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 21 66497930.
 E-mail addresses: h_agahi@aut.ac.ir (H. Agahi), adel@aut.ac.ir (A. Mohammadpour), mesiar@math.sk (R. Mesiar).

0020-0255/\$ - see front matter Crown Copyright @ 2013 Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.02.019 **Definition 1.1** (13,14,30). Functions $u, v : X \to \mathbb{R}$ are said to be comonotone if for all $x, y \in X$,

 $(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) \ge 0.$

The comonotonicity of functions u and v is equivalent to the non-existence of points $x, y \in X$ such that u(x) < u(y) and v(x) > v(y).

Given a measurable space (X, \mathcal{F}) and two \mathcal{F} -Borel measurable functions u, v, Armstrong [8] proved that, if u, v are Lebesgue integrable w.r.t. a probability measure P and are comonotone, then the following well known Chebyshev inequality

$$\int_X u v dP \ge \left(\int_X u dP\right) \left(\int_X v dP\right),$$

holds (see also [19]).

The aim of this paper is to generalize the previous ones [1,19,26–28], and we believe that they will prove their usefulness in several areas, such as the economy and decision making, among others. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 recalls the concepts of Choquet-like integrals while Section 3 presents our main results. Finally, some concluding remarks are added.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and previous results which will be used in the sequel. For details, we refer to [24]. For the convenience of the reader, we provide in this section a summary of the mathematical notations and definitions used in this paper (see [33]).

Definition 2.1 37. An operation $\oplus : [0,\infty]^2 \to [0,\infty]$ is called a pseudo-addition if the following properties are satisfied:

(P1) $a \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus a = a$ (neutral element); (P2) $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ (associativity); (P3) $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ imply that $a \oplus b \leq c \oplus d$ (monotonicity); (P4) $a_n \to a$ and $b_n \to b$ imply that $a_n \oplus b_n \to a \oplus b$ (continuity).

Definition 2.2. [24,37] Let \oplus be a given pseudo-addition on $[0,\infty]$. Another binary operation \odot on $[0,\infty]$ is said to be a pseudo-multiplication corresponding to \oplus if the following properties are satisfied:

 $\begin{array}{l} (M1) \ a \odot (x \oplus y) = (a \odot x) \oplus (a \odot y); \\ (M2) \ a \leqslant b \ \text{implies} \ (a \odot x) \leqslant (b \odot x); \\ (M3) \ a \odot x = 0 \iff a = 0 \text{ors} = 0; \\ (M4) \ \exists \ e \in [0, \infty] \ (\text{i.e., there exist the neutral element } e) \ \text{such that} \ e \odot x = x \ \text{for any} \ x \in [0, \infty]; \\ (M5) \ a_n \rightarrow a \in (0, \infty) \ \text{and} \ x_n \rightarrow x \ \text{imply} \ (a_n \odot x_n) \rightarrow (a \odot x) \ \text{and} \ \infty \odot x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} (a \odot x); \\ (M6) \ a \odot x = x \odot a; \\ (M7) \ (a \odot b) \odot c = a \odot (b \odot c). \end{array}$

Notice that a pseudo-multiplication \odot corresponding to a given pseudo-addition \oplus need to be neither commutative nor associative, in general. But in this paper, we will suppose that both (M6) and (M7) hold. Thus (M4) equivalents that there exists a neutral element *e*.

Mesiar [24] showed that, if \odot is a pseudo-multiplication corresponding to a given pseudo-addition \oplus fulfilling axioms (M1)-(M7) and if its identity element *e* is not an idempotent of \oplus , then there is a unique continuous strictly increasing function $g: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with g(0) = 0 and $g(\infty) = \infty$, such that g(e) = 1 and

 $a \oplus b = g^{-1}(g(a) + g(b)) \oplus$ is called a *g*-addition,

 $a \odot b = g^{-1}(g(a) \cdot g(b))$ \odot is called a *g*-multiplication.

Mesiar [24] also proved that if the identity element *e* of the pseudo-multiplication is also an idempotent of \oplus (i.e., $e \oplus e = e$), then $\oplus = \lor (= \sup)$, i.e. the logical addition. In this case, the logical multiplication \land and the *g*-multiplication are the candidates of \odot , among others.

Remark 2.3. Restricting to the interval [0,1] a pseudo-multiplication and a pseudo-addition with additional properties of associativity and commutativity can be considered as the *t*-norm *T* and the *t*-conorms *S* (see [22]), respectively.

Definition 2.4 23. A monotone measure μ on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{F}) is a function $\mu : \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$ satisfying

(i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$; (ii) $\mu(X) > 0$; (iii) $\mu(A) \le \mu(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B$;

moreover, μ is called real if $|| \mu || = \mu(X) < \infty$ and μ is said to be an additive measure if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$, whenever $A \cap B = \emptyset$. The triple (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) is also called a *monotone measure space* if μ is a monotone measure on \mathcal{F} .

Note that a monotone measure is not necessarily σ -additive. This concept goes back to M. Sugeno [36] (where also the continuity of the measures was required). To be precise, normed monotone measures on (*X*, *F*), i.e., monotone measures satisfying $||\mu|| = 1$, are also called capacity, depending on the context.

For a fixed measurable space (X, \mathcal{F}) , i.e., a non-empty set X equipped with a σ -algebra \mathcal{F} , recall that a function $f: X \to [0, \infty]$ is called \mathcal{F} -measurable if, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, \infty])$, the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of $[0, \infty]$, the preimage $f^{-1}(B)$ is an element of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 2.5. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a monotone measure space and $f : X \to [0, \infty]$ be an \mathcal{F} -measurable function. The Choquet expectation (integral) of f with respect to (w.r.t.) real monotone measure μ is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu}_{\mathsf{C}}[f] = \int_0^\infty \mu(X \cap \{f \ge y\}) dy,$$

where the integral on the right-hand side is the (improper) Riemann integral.

Mesiar [24] developed a type of integral, the so-called Choquet-like integral, which generalizes the concepts of some wellknown integrals, including the Sugeno integral and the Choquet integral.

There are two classes of Choquet-like integral: the Choquet-like integral (denoted by \mathbb{E}_{Clg}^{μ}) based on a *g*-addition and a *g*-multiplication and the Choquet-like integral based on \vee and a corresponding pseudo-multiplication \odot . Observe that for a \oplus -measure, Choquet-like integrals coincide with the corresponding pseudo-additive integrals.

Theorem 2.6 24. Let \oplus and \odot be generated by a generator g. Then the Choquet-like expectation of a measurable function $f: X \to [0, \infty]$ w.r.t. a real monotone measure μ can be represented as

$$\mathbb{E}_{Cl,g}^{\mu}[f] = g^{-1}\Big(\mathbb{E}_{C}^{g(\mu)}[g(f)]\Big) = g^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\mu(X \cap \{g(f) \ge y\}))dy\right)$$

Notice that we sometimes call this kind of Choquet-like integral a *g*-Choquet integral (g - C-integral for short). It is plain that the g - C- integral is the original Choquet integral (expectation) whenever g = i (the identity mapping).

Theorem 2.7 24. Let \odot be a pseudo-multiplication corresponding to \lor and fulfilling (M1)–(M7). Then the Choquet-like integral (so-called $\odot - \mathbb{S}_u$ integral) of a measurable function $f : X \to [0, \infty]$ w.r.t. a real monotone measure μ can be represented as

$$\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}[f] = \sup_{a \in [0,\infty]} a \odot \mu(X \cap \{f \ge a\})$$

It is plain that the $\odot - S_{\mu}$ integral is the original Sugeno integral whenever $\odot = \wedge [36]$.

Restricting now to the unit interval [0,1] we shall consider the measurable function $f : X \to [0, 1]$ with $\|\mu\| = 1$. Observe that, in this case, we have the restriction of the pseudo-multiplication \odot to $[0, 1]^2$ (called a semicopula or a conjunctor, i.e., a binary operation $\circledast : [0, 1]^2 \to [0, 1]$ which is non-decreasing in both components, has 1 as neutral element and satisfies $a \circledast b \leq \min(a, b)$ for all $(a, b) \in [0, 1]^2$, see [11,16]). In a special case, for a fixed strict *t*-norm *T*, the corresponding $\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}$ integral is the so-called Sugeno-Weber integral [43]. If \odot is the standard product, then the Shilkret integral [34] can be recognized.

The $\odot - S_{\mu}$ integral on the [0,1] scale related to the semicopula \circledast is given by

$$\circledast - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}[f] = \sup_{a \in [0,1]} a \circledast \mu(X \cap \{f \ge a\}).$$

This type of integral was called seminormed integral in [35].

Recently, Girotto and Holzer [19] proved the following Chebyshev type inequality for Choquet integral (expectation).

Theorem 2.8. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and Y, Z be \mathcal{F} -Borel measurable functions. If Y, Z are comonotone and two realvalued functions, then the following version of Chebyshev inequality:

$$\|\mu\|\mathbb{E}^{\mu}_{\mathcal{C}}[YZ] \ge \mathbb{E}^{\mu}_{\mathcal{C}}[Y]\mathbb{E}^{\mu}_{\mathcal{C}}[Z],$$

holds for any real monotone set function μ on \mathcal{F} , when Y, Z ≥ 0 , and for any real (finitely) additive measure μ on \mathcal{F} , when Y, Z are Choquet integrable.

Before stating our main result, we need a definition.

Definition 2.9. Let $A, B : [0, \infty)^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be two binary operations. Then A dominates B (or B is dominated by A), denoted by $A \gg B$, if

 $A(B(a,b),B(c,d)) \ge B(A(a,c),A(b,d))$

holds for any $a, b, c, d \in [0, \infty)$.

Now, our results can be stated as follows.

3. Main results

The aim of this section is to show the Chebyshev type inequality for Choquet-like expectation.

Theorem 3.1. Let $u, v: X \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ be two comonotone functions. Then the inequality

$$\|\mu\| \odot (\mathbb{E}^{\mu}_{CL_{\mathbf{f}}}[\mathbf{u} \odot \mathbf{v}]) \ge (\mathbb{E}^{\mu}_{CL_{\mathbf{f}}}[\mathbf{u}]) \odot (\mathbb{E}^{\mu}_{CL_{\mathbf{f}}}[\mathbf{v}])$$

$$(3.1)$$

holds for the g-Choquet integral if the generator g is a real-valued function.

Proof. Using the Chebyshev integral inequality for Choquet integral (2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} |\mu|| \odot (\mathbb{E}_{Clg}^{\mu}[u \odot \nu]) &= g^{-1}(g(||\mu||) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{C}^{g(\mu)}[g(u)g(\nu)]) \ge g^{-1}[\left(\mathbb{E}_{C}^{g(\mu)}[g(u)]\right) \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}_{C}^{g(\mu)}[g(\nu)]\right)] \\ &= g^{-1}[g(g^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_{C}^{g(\mu)}[g(u)])) \cdot g(g^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_{C}^{g(\mu)}[g(\nu)]))] = g^{-1}(g(\mathbb{E}_{Clg}^{\mu}[u]) \cdot g(\mathbb{E}_{Clg}^{\mu}[\nu])) \\ &= (\mathbb{E}_{Clg}^{\mu}[u]) \odot (\mathbb{E}_{Clg}^{\mu}[\nu]). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let $u, v : X \to [0,\infty)$ be two comonotone functions and $\exists v : [0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty)$ be continuous and non-decreasing in both arguments. If \odot is a pseudo-multiplication (with neutral element e) corresponding to \lor satisfying

$$(a \diamond b) \odot c \ge [(a \odot c) \diamond b] \lor [a \diamond (b \odot c)], \tag{3.3}$$

then the inequality

$$\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}\left[\frac{u}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} \nu\right] \ge \odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}\left[\frac{u}{\|\mu\|}\right] \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} (\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}[\nu])$$
(3.4)

holds for the $\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}$ integral and any real monotone set function μ such that $a \odot \|\mu\| \leq a$ for all a and $\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}[\frac{u}{\|\mu\|}], \odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}[v]$ are finite.

Proof. Let $\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}[v] = q < \infty, \odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu}[\frac{u}{\|\mu\|}] = \frac{p}{\|\mu\|} < \infty$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist q_{ε} and $\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|}$ such that $M_2 = \mu(X \cap \{v \ge q_{\varepsilon}\}), M_1 = \mu(X \cap \{\frac{u}{\|\mu\|} \ge \frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|}\})$ where $(q_{\varepsilon} \odot M_2) \ge q - \varepsilon$ and $(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \odot M_1) \ge \frac{p}{\|\mu\|} - \varepsilon$. The comonotonicity of u, v and the monotonicity of $\frac{1}{2} u \ge p_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$, $\{v \ge q_{\varepsilon}\} \subset \{\frac{u}{\|\mu\|} \approx v \ge \frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \approx q_{\varepsilon}$ imply that

$$\mu\left(X \cap \left\{\frac{u}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Rightarrow} v \geq \frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) \geq M_1 \wedge M_2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{a\in[0,\infty]} \left(a \odot \mu(X \cap \{ (\frac{u}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} v) \ge a) \} \right) &\geq \left(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon} \right) \odot \mu\left(X \cap \left\{ (\frac{u}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} v) \ge (\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon} \right) \right\} \right) \ge \left(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon} \right) \odot (M_1 \wedge M_2) \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon} \right) \odot M_1 \right] \wedge \left[\left(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon} \right) \odot M_2 \right] \\ &\ge \left[\left(\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \odot M_1 \right) \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon} \right] \wedge \left[\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} (q_{\varepsilon} \odot M_2) \right] \ge \left[\left(\frac{p}{\|\mu\|} - \varepsilon \right) \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} q_{\varepsilon} \right] \wedge \left[\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} (q - \varepsilon) \right] \\ &\ge \left(\frac{p}{\|\mu\|} - \varepsilon \right) \stackrel{\text{d}}{\Rightarrow} (q - \varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Whence $\odot - \mathbb{S}_{\mu} \left[\frac{u}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{*}{\approx} v \right] \ge \frac{p}{\|\mu\|} \stackrel{*}{\approx} q$ follows from the continuity of $\stackrel{*}{\approx}$ and the arbitrariness of ε . \Box

Remark 3.3. If $(x \not\approx e) \lor (e \not\propto x) \leqslant x$ for any $x \in [0, \infty)$ and \odot (with neutral element *e*) dominates $\not\approx$, then (3.3) holds readily. Indeed,

$$(a \Leftrightarrow b) \odot c \ge [(a \Leftrightarrow b) \odot (c \Leftrightarrow e)] \ge (a \odot e) \Leftrightarrow (b \odot c) = a \Leftrightarrow (b \odot c),$$

and $(a \Leftrightarrow b) \odot c \ge (a \odot c) \Leftrightarrow b$ follows similarly

If $\odot = \land$, i.e., for the Sugeno integral, and \Leftrightarrow bounded from above by the minimum and minimum dominates \Leftrightarrow , then we have a general version of Chebyshev inequality for the Sugeno integral on an arbitrary real monotone measure μ based on a product-like operation \Rightarrow .

Notice that when working on [0,1] in Theorem 3.2, we mostly deal with $\|\mu\| = e = 1$, then $\odot = \otimes$ is semicopula (t-seminorm). Then we get the Chebyshev type inequality for seminormed fuzzy integrals [28].

Corollary 3.4. Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and $u, v : X \to [0,1]$ be two comonotone measurable functions. Let $\mathfrak{R} : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ be continuous and non-decreasing in both arguments. If semicopula \circledast satisfies

$$[(a \stackrel{\circ}{\approx} b) \stackrel{\circ}{\approx} (c)] \ge [(a \stackrel{\circ}{\approx} c) \stackrel{\circ}{\propto} b] \vee [a \stackrel{\circ}{\approx} (b \stackrel{\circ}{\approx} c)], \tag{3.5}$$

then the inequality

holds for any capacity μ .

Remark 3.5. We can use an example in [28] to show that the condition of $[(a \rightleftharpoons b) \circledast c] \ge [(a \circledast c) \oiint b] \lor [a \rightleftharpoons (b \circledast c)]$ in Corollary 3.4 (thus the condition (3.3) in Theorem 3.2) cannot be abandoned, and so we omit it here.

Remark 3.6. Our results generalize those of Agahi et al. [1] as the pseudo-integrals discussed there are special instances of our Choquet-like expectations (measure in their case is rather special, necessarily pseudo-additive, while we work with a monotone measure).

4. Concluding remarks

We have proposed new versions of Chebyshev inequality for different kinds of Choquet-like integrals, thus generalizing some results already known from the literature for the Choquet and for the Sugeno integrals. Note that the area of integral inequalities is a living area important for applications, especially when approximations have to be considered, with several fresh generalizations either in the classical Lebesgue integral setting, see for example [38], or the setting of Sugeno integrals [3]. In our future work we aim to focus on the study of inequalities considering universal integrals recently proposed in [23], focusing especially on copulas-based universal integrals (covering both the Choquet and Sugeno integrals as particular cases). Recently, Agahi et al. [4] have proved some probability inequalities, including Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's inequality, Markov's inequality and Fatou's lemma for Choquet-like expectation based on a monotone measure in a rather general form.

Acknowledgment

The third author was supported by Grants P402/11/0378, APVV-0073-10.

References

- [1] H. Agahi, R. Mesiar, Y. Ouyang, Chebyshev type inequalities for pseudo integrals, Nonlinear Analysis 72 (2010) 2737-2743.
- [2] H. Agahi, R. Mesiar, Y. Ouyang, New general extensions of Chebyshev type inequalities for Sugeno integrals, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 51 (2009) 135–140.
- [3] H. Agahi, R. Mesiar, Y. Ouyang, On some advanced type inequalities for Sugeno integral and T-(S-)evaluators, Information Sciences 190 (2012) 64-75.
- [4] H. Agahi, A. Mohammadpour, R. Mesiar, Generalizations of some probability inequalities and L^p convergence of random variables for Choquet-like expectation, submitted for publication.
- [5] T.E. Armstrong, Chebyshev inequalities and comonotonicity, Real Analysis Exchange 19 (1) (1993/94) 266-268.
- [6] G. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities via Euler type and Fink identities, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 45 (2007) 1189–1200.
- [7] G. Anastassiou, Chebyshev-Grüss type inequalities on \mathbb{R}^N over spherical shells and balls, Applied Mathematics Letters 21 (2008) 119–127.
- [8] T.E. Armstrong, Chebyshev inequalities and comonotonicity, Real Analysis Exchange 19 (1) (1993/94) 266–268.
- [9] S. Auephanwiriyakul, J. Keller, P. Gader, Generalized Choquet fuzzy integral fusion, Information Fusion 3 (2002) 69-85.
- [10] A. Flores-Franulič, H. Román-Flores, A Chebyshev type inequality for fuzzy integrals, Applied Mathematics and Computation 190 (2007) 1178-1184.
- [11] B. Bassan, F. Spizzichino, Relations among univariate aging, bivariate aging and dependence for exchangeable lifetimes, Journal of Multivariate Analysis 93 (2005) 313–339.
- [12] G. Choquet, Theory of capacities, Annales De L'Institut Fourier 5 (1954) 131-295.
- [13] C. Dellacherie, Quelques commentaires sur les prolongements de capacités, in: Seminaire de Probabilites (1969/70), Strasbourg, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 191, Springer, Berlin, 1970, pp. 77–81.
- [14] D. Denneberg, Non-additive Measure and Integral, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994.

- [15] D. Dubois, J.-L. Marichal, H. Prade, M. Roubens, R. Sabbadin, The use of the discrete Sugeno integral in decision making: a survey, International Journal of Uncertainty Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems 9 (5) (2001) 539–561.
- [16] F. Durante, C. Sempi, Semicopulae, Kybernetika 41 (2005) 315-328.
- [17] P. Gader, W.H. Lee, X.P. Zhang, Rênyi entropy with respect to choquet capacities, in: Proc. IEEE Conf. Fuzzy Systems, Budapest, Hungary, 2004.
- [18] B. Girotto, S. Holzer, A Chebyshev type inequality for Sugeno integral and comonotonicity, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 52 (2011) 444-448.
- [19] B. Girotto, S. Holzer, Chebyshev type inequality for Choquet integral and comonotonicity, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 52 (2011) 1118–1123.
- [20] M. Grabisch, The application of fuzzy integrals in multicriteria decision making, European Journal of Operational Research 89 (1996) 445–456.
 [21] M. Grabisch, J. Duchêne, F. Lino, P. Perny, Subjective evaluation of discomfort in sitting position, Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making 1 (3) (2002) 287–312
- [22] E.P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Triangular norms, Trends in Logic, Studia Logica Library, vol. 8, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dodrecht, 2000.
- [23] E.P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, A universal integral as common frame for Choquet and Sugeno integral, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems 18 (2010) 178–187.
- [24] R. Mesiar, Choquet-like integrals, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 194 (1995) 477–488.
- [25] R. Mesiar, J. Li, E. Pap, The Choquet integral as Lebesgue integral and related inequalities, Kybernetika 46 (2010) 1098-1107.
- [26] R. Mesiar, Y. Ouyang, General Chebyshev type inequalities for Sugeno integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 160 (2009) 58-64.
- [27] Y. Ouyang, J. Fang, L. Wang, Fuzzy Chebyshev type inequality, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 48 (2008) 829-835.
- [28] Y. Ouyang, R. Mesiar, On the Chebyshev type inequality for seminormed fuzzy integral, Applied Mathematics Letters 22 (2009) 1810-1815.
- [29] Y. Ouyang, R. Mesiar, H. Agahi, An inequality related to Minkowski type for Sugeno integrals, Information Sciences 180 (2010) 2793-2801.
- [30] E. Pap, Null-additive Set Functions, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [31] D. Ralescu, G. Adams, The fuzzy integral, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 75 (1980) 562–570.
- [32] H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulič, Y. Chalco-Cano, A Jensen type inequality for fuzzy integrals, Information Sciences 177 (2007) 3192–3201.
- [33] C. Sheng, J. Shi, Y. Ouyang, Chebyshev's inequality for Choquet-like integral, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2011) 8936-8942.
- [34] N. Shilkret, Maxitive measure and integration, Indagationes Mathematicae 8 (1971) 109-116.
- [35] F. Suárez García, P. Gil Álvarez, Two families of fuzzy integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 18 (1986) 67–81.
- [36] M. Sugeno, Theory of Fuzzy integrals and its applications, Ph.D. dissertation, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 1974.
- [37] M. Sugeno, T. Murofushi, Pseudo-additive measures and integrals, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 122 (1987) 197-222.
- [38] J. Tian, Reversed version of a generalized sharp Höder's inequality and its applications, Information Sciences 201 (2012) 61-69.
- [39] A. Wagener, Chebyshev's algebraic inequality and comparative statics under uncertainty, Mathematical Social Sciences 52 (2006) 217–221.
- [40] X.Z. Wang, J.F. Chen, Multiple neural networks fusion model based on choquet fuzzy integral, in: Proc. 3d Int. Conf. Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Shanghai, 2004.
 [41] Z. Wang, J.F. Chen, Multiple neural networks fusion model based on choquet fuzzy integral, in: Proc. 3d Int. Conf. Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Shanghai, 2004.
- [41] Z. Wang, G. Klir, Generalized Measure Theory, Springer Verlag, New York, 2008.
- [42] Z. Wang, K.S. Leung, M.L. Wong, J. Fang, K. Xu, Nonlinear nonnegative multiregressions based on Choquet integrals, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 25 (2000) 71–87.
- [43] S. Weber, Two integrals and some modified versions: critical remarks, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986) 97-105.
- [44] J. Wen, W. Wang, Chebyshev type inequalities involving permanents and their applications, Linear Algebra and its Applications 422 (2007) 295-303.