# Model of Risk and Losses of a Multigeneration Mortgage Portfolio

Martin Šmíd $^{1\ 2}$ 

### Abstract

During the last decades, Merton-Vasicek factor model (1987), later generalize by Frye at al. (2000), became standards in credit risk management. We present a generalization of these models allowing multiple sub-portfolios of loans possibly starting at different times and lasting more than one period. We show that, given this model, a one-to-one mapping between factors and the overall default rate and the charge-off rate exists, is differentiable and numerically computable.

#### **Keywords**

risk management, loan portfolio, default rate, charge off rate

## 1 Introduction

Consider a large portfolio of loans secured by colaterals. Assume that, at each period  $1 \le \tau \le T$ , a new generation of  $N^{\tau}$  loans is added to the portfolio; precisely,  $\tau$  is the time of the first repayment of the loans of the  $\tau$ -th generation.

For simplicity, assume all the loans to have identical parameters, namely:

- the amounts of loans are unit (without loss of generality),
- all the loans last m periods
- their interest rate is  $\zeta$
- each loan is repaid annuity way, i.e., by identical installments

$$b = b(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\zeta}{1-v^m} & \zeta \neq 0\\ \frac{1}{m} & \zeta = 0 \end{cases}, \quad v = v(\zeta) = (1+\zeta)^{-1}.$$

Assume further that the wealth of the *i*-th debtor of the  $\tau$ -th generation at time t fulfils

$$A_t^{\tau,i} = \exp\left\{Y_t + Z_t^{\tau,i}\right\}, \qquad t \ge 1,$$

where

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Martin Šmíd, Department of Econometrics, Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, Pod Vodárenskou věží4, Praha 8, CZ 182 08, Czech Republic. E-mail: smid@tia.cas.cz.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ This work was supported by European Social Fund (CZ.1.07/2.3.00/20.0296) and by grant No. GA15-10331S of the Czech Science Foundation.

- Y is a general stochastic common factor
- $Z^{\tau,i}$  is a stochastic indivudual factor such that

$$- Z_{\tau}^{\tau,i} = \sigma_1 U_1^{\tau,i}, \ \sigma_1 > 0, \text{ where } U_1^i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \\ - Z_t^{\tau,i} = \phi Z_{t-1}^{\tau,i} + \sigma U_t^{\tau,i}, \ t > \tau, \text{ for some constants } \phi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma > 0, \text{ where } U_s^{\tau,i} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \\ \text{ for any } s.$$

Further, assume that the *i*-th loan belonging to the  $\tau$ -th generation is secured by a collateral with price  $P^{\tau,i}$  fulfilling

$$P_{\tau-1}^{\tau,i} = 1$$

(i.e., is equal to the size of the loan at the hypothetical time of its arrangement) and

$$P_t^{\tau,i} = \exp\left\{I_t + E_t^{\tau,i}\right\}, \qquad t > \tau,$$

where

- I is another common factor
- $E^{\tau,i}$  is a stochastic process fulfilling  $E_t^{\tau,i} = \psi E_{t-1}^{\tau,i} + \rho V_t^{\tau,i}$ ,  $t > \tau$ , for some constants  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and  $\rho > 0$  where  $E_{\tau}^{\tau,i} = 0$  and  $V_s^{\tau,i} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  for any s.

Finally, assume that

•  $U_1^{1,1}, V_1^{1,1}, U_1^{1,2}, V_1^{1,2}, \dots U_1^{1,N^1}, V_1^{1,N^1}, U_1^{2,1}, V_1^{1,2}, \dots V_T^{1,N^1}, U_1^{2,1}, \dots$  are mutually independent, independent of Y, I.

We say that the *i*-th loan of the  $\tau$ -th generation defaults at t if

$$D_t^{\tau,i} = \mathbf{1}[B_t^{\tau,i} = 1, S_{t-1}^{\tau,i} = 1] = 1$$

where, for any  $\theta$ ,

$$B_{\theta}^{\tau,i} = \mathbf{1} \left[ A_{\theta}^{\tau,i} < (\theta - \tau + 1)b \right]$$
(1)

is a variable indicating insufficiency of the wealth to cover the (accumulated) installments and

$$S_{\theta}^{\tau,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \theta = \tau - 1 \\ \mathbf{1}[B_{\tau}^{\tau,i} = 0, B_{\tau+1}^{\tau,i} = 0, \dots, B_{\theta}^{\tau,i} = 0], & \tau \le \theta \le \tau + m - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an indicator of "survival" up to  $\theta$ 

The percentage loss of the creditor from the  $\tau$ -th generation associated with the *i*-th loan of the  $\tau$ -th generation at time *t* may be then expressed as

$$G_t^{\tau,i} = \frac{D_t^{\tau,i} \max(0, h_t - P_t^{\tau,i})}{h_t^{\tau}}$$

where

$$h_t^{\tau} = h(t - \tau + 1, \zeta), \qquad h(\theta) = \begin{cases} b \sum_{\tau=\theta}^m v^{m-\tau+1} = bv \frac{1 - v^{m-t+1}}{1 - v} & \zeta \neq 0\\ \frac{m-\theta+1}{m} & \zeta = 1 \end{cases}$$

is the principal outstanding at t (see [4] for a formula for h as well as that for b).

Remark 1. (i) If T = 1, m = 1 and  $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \varsigma^2), Z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \varsigma^2 + \sigma^2 = 1$  then our setting replicates the Vasicek Model [6].

(ii) If T = 1, m = 1, the factors  $Y_1, Z_1$ , are as in (i),  $I_1 = \alpha + \gamma Y_1, E_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \varrho^2)$ , for some  $\alpha, \gamma > 0$  and  $\varrho < 1, E_1 \perp Z_1$ , then our model coincides with [1] if their prices are regarded as log ones.

For each  $\tau$  and t, denote

$$N_t^{\tau} = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\tau}} S_{t-1}^{\tau,i} \qquad t > 0,$$

the number of debts having survived until  $\tau$  and, for any t > 0, define

$$Q_t^{\tau,N^\tau} = \frac{\sum_{1 \le i \le N^\tau} D_t^{\tau,i}}{N_t^\tau}$$

the overall default rate of the  $\tau$ -th generation and

$$G_t^{\tau,N^\tau} = \frac{\sum_{1 \le i \le N^\tau} G_t^{\tau,i}}{N_t^\tau}$$

its average chargeoff rate.

Finally, denote

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t^{N^1, N^2 \dots N^t} &= \frac{\sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} \sum_{1 \le i \le N^\tau} D_t^{\tau, i}}{\sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} N_t^\tau}, \qquad t > 1, \\ G_t^{N^1, N^2 \dots N^t} &= \frac{\sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} \sum_{1 \le i \le N^\tau} G_t^{\tau, i}}{\sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} N_t^\tau}, \qquad t > 1, \end{aligned}$$

the overall default rate, chargeoff rate, respectively.

The goal of the present paper is to examine properties and computability of mapping  $\Phi$ ,

$$\Phi_t(Y_1, I_1, \dots, Y_t, I_t; \theta) = (Q_t, L_t), \qquad t \ge 1,$$
(2)

and its inversion, where

$$\theta = (\zeta, \sigma_1, \sigma, \rho, \phi, \psi),$$

is the parameter vector and

$$Q_t = \lim_{\substack{N^1, N^2, \dots, N^t \to \infty\\ N^\theta/N^1 \to \omega_\theta, 2 \le \theta \le t}} Q_t^{N^1, N^2 \dots N^t}, \qquad G_t = \lim_{\substack{N^1, N^2, \dots, N^t \to \infty\\ N^\theta/N^1 \to \omega_\theta, 2 \le \theta \le t}} G_t^{N^1, N^2 \dots N^t}, \qquad t \ge 1$$

are the asymptotic versions of the overall rates given that  $N^{\theta}/N^1$  converges to  $\omega_{\theta} > 0$  for each  $\theta$ .

## 2 The Transformation

In the present Section, transformation  $\Phi$  of the factors into overall rates is constructed by means of the functions transforming the factors into the generation-specific rates.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $1 \le \tau \le T$  and  $\tau \le t \le T$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}[D_t^{\tau} = 1 | S_{t-1}^{\tau} = 1, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t] = Q_t^{\tau}, \qquad Q_t^{\tau} = \lim_{N^{\tau} \to \infty} Q_t^{\tau, N^{\tau}} = q_t^{\tau}(Y_{\tau}, Y_{\tau+1}, \dots, Y_t; \theta),$$
$$\mathbb{E}[G_t^{\tau} | S_{t-1}^{\tau} = 1, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t, I_t] = G_t^{\tau}, \qquad G_t^{\tau} = \lim_{N^{\tau} \to \infty} G_t^{\tau, N^{\tau}} = g_t^{\tau}(Y_{\tau}, Y_{\tau+1}, \dots, Y_t; \theta)$$

where the functions  $q_t^{\tau}$  and  $g_t^{\tau}$  are strictly decreasing in  $Y_t$ ,  $I_t$ , respectively, both continuously differentiable in all the factors and parameters.

*Proof.* See [5], Section 4.

The following Theorem shows that properties of  $\Phi$  and its inverse are analogous to the generation specific transformations.

### **Theorem 3.** Let $1 \le t \le T$ . Then

(i) Continuously differentiable mapping  $\Phi$  fulfilling (2) exists and is given by

$$\Phi_t = \sum_{\tau=1}^t \pi_t^\tau \cdot (Q_t^\tau, G_t^\tau) \tag{3}$$

where

$$\pi_t^{\tau} = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega_{\tau} R_t^{\tau}}{\sum_{\theta=t-m+1}^t \omega_{\theta} R_t^{\theta}} & t-m+1 \leq \tau \leq t \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}, \qquad R_t^{\tau} = \prod_{\theta=\tau}^{t-1} (1-Q_{\theta}^{\tau}).$$

(ii) A continuously differentiable inverse  $\Psi$  of  $\Phi$  exists.

*Proof.* (i) Assume, for a while, that Y and I are deterministic (which makes  $\mathbb{P}[\bullet|S_{t-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_t, I_t] = \mathbb{P}[\bullet|S_{t-1}]$  etc). Let t > 0, denote  $N_t = \sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} N_t^{\tau}$ . As

$$Q_t^{N^1,N^2\dots N^t} = \sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} \left( \frac{\sum_{1 \le i \le N^\tau} D_t^{\tau,i}}{N_t} \right) = \sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} \left( Q^{\tau,N_t^\tau} \cdot \frac{N_t^\tau}{N_t} \right),$$

we may use the Strong Law of Large Numbers ([3] Theorem 4.23) together with [3] Corollary 4.5 to get that

$$Q_t = \sum_{1 \le \tau \le t} \left( \left( \lim_{N_\tau} Q^{\tau, N_t^\tau} \right) \cdot \left( \lim_{\substack{N^1, N^2, \dots, N^t \to \infty \\ N^i/N_1 \to \omega_{\theta}, 2 \le \theta \le t}} \frac{N_t^\tau}{N_t} \right) \right).$$

if the limits exist. Because, according to [5], Section 4,  $\lim_{N_{\tau}} Q^{\tau, N_t^{\tau}} \to Q_t^{\tau}$  almost sure, it remains to show that

$$\lim_{N^1,N^2\dots N^t} \frac{N_t^\tau}{N_t} = \pi_t^\tau;$$

to do so, observe first that, by the Strong Law of Large Numbers,

$$\lim_{N^{\tau}} \frac{N_{\theta}^{\tau}}{N^{\tau}} = \mathbb{P}[S_{\theta-1}^{\tau} = 1] = \mathbb{P}[S_{\theta-1}^{\tau} = S_{\theta-2}^{\tau} = \cdots = S_{\tau}^{\tau} = 1] = \prod_{s=\tau}^{\theta-1} \mathbb{P}[S_s = 1|S_{s-1} = 1] = R_t^s$$

for any  $\theta \geq \tau$  (the last equality holds due to Proposition 2 and because  $\mathbb{P}[S_{\theta} = 1 | S_{\theta-1} = 1] = \mathbb{P}[D_{\theta} = 0 | S_{\theta-1} = 1]$ ). Thus, using [3] Corollary 4.5, we get, for any  $t - m + 1 \leq \tau \leq t$ , that

as  $N_t^{\tau} = 0$  for the remaining  $\tau's$ , (3) is proved for deterministic Y and I. For stochastic ones, the formula holds by [2] 6.8.14. The differentiability and monotonicity follows from those of  $q^{\tau}$ and  $q^{\tau}$ . 

Ad (ii). The assertion follows similarly as in [5], Section 4.

*Remark* 4. Actual values  $\Phi$  may be computed by means of a numerical technique, proposed in [5], applied to the generation specific mappings. The inverse  $\Psi$  of  $\Phi$ , on the other hand, has to be computed numerically from  $\Phi$ . A C++ software package computing both  $\Phi$  nad  $\Psi$ , including its source code, may be found at https://github.com/cyberklezmer/phi.

#### 3 Conclusions

We have proved existence a one-to-one computable transformation between factors and the overall default and charge-off rates in a multi-generation factor model of a loan portfolio and we have shown that properties of this transformation are analogous to the generation-specific transformations discussed in [5]. One of possible application or our result could be a realistic modeling a dynamics of a nation-wide default and charge-off rates assuming a hypothetical multi-generation nation-wide portfolio.

## References

- [1] Jon Frye, Lisa Ashley, Robert Bliss, Richard Cahill, Paul Calem, Matthew Foss, Michael Gordy, David Jones, Catherine Lemieux, Michael Lesiak, et al. Collateral damage: A source of systematic credit risk. *Risk*, 13(4):91–94, 2000.
- [2] J. Hoffmann-Jørgenson. Probability with a View Towards to Statistics I. Chapman and Hall, New York, 1994.
- [3] O. Kallenberg. Foundations of Modern Probability. Springer, New York, second edition, 2002.
- [4] Bernd Luderer, Volker Nollau, and Klaus Vetters. Mathematical Formulas for Economists. Springer Science & Business Media, third edition, 2006.
- [5] Martin Šmíd and Jaroslav Dufek. Multiperiod factor model of a loan portfolio, 2015. Preprint. Available at http://ssrn.com.
- [6] Oldrich Vasicek. Probability of loss on loan portfolio. KMV Corporation, 12(6), 1987.