Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physica A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/physa

Gold, currencies and market efficiency

Ladislav Kristoufek*, Miloslav Vosvrda

Institute of Information Theory and Automation, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Pod Vodarenskou Vezi 4, 182 08, Prague, Czech Republic

HIGHLIGHTS

- Efficiency of 142 currencies is studied.
- An adjusted Efficiency Index (EI) is utilized.
- The most liquid currencies are among the least efficient ones.
- Further discussion of results is provided.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 August 2015 Received in revised form 29 October 2015 Available online 4 January 2016

Keywords: Efficient market hypothesis Gold Currencies Fractal dimension Entropy Long-term memory

ABSTRACT

Gold and currency markets form a unique pair with specific interactions and dynamics. We focus on the efficiency ranking of gold markets with respect to the currency of purchase. By utilizing the Efficiency Index (EI) based on fractal dimension, approximate entropy and long-term memory on a wide portfolio of 142 gold price series for different currencies, we construct the efficiency ranking based on the extended EI methodology we provide. Rather unexpected results are uncovered as the gold prices in major currencies lay among the least efficient ones whereas very minor currencies are among the most efficient ones. We argue that such counterintuitive results can be partly attributed to a unique period of examination (2011–2014) characteristic by quantitative easing and rather unorthodox monetary policies together with the investigated illegal collusion of major foreign exchange market participants, as well as some other factors discussed in some detail.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

For decades, the efficient market hypothesis (EMH) has been a building block of financial economics. In his fundamental paper, Fama [1] summarizes the then-current empirical findings following the theoretical papers of Fama [2] and Samuelson [3]. Fama [4] then recalls various issues of the hypothesis and reviews the newer literature on the topic. The capital market efficiency is standardly parallelized with the informational efficiency so that the markets are efficient as long as all the available information is fully reflected into market prices [1]. Depending on the level of information availability, the EMH is usually separated into three forms — weak (historical prices), semi-strong (public information), and strong (all information, even private) [4]. The theory has been challenged on both theoretical [5] and empirical [6] grounds regularly, yet still it remains a popular and fruitful topic of financial research.

The empirical testing of capital markets efficiency has a long history across various assets. The already-mentioned review study of Fama [1] focuses mainly on stock markets. In commodity markets, Roll [7] and Danthine [8] are among the first ones

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: kristouf@utia.cas.cz (L. Kristoufek), vosvrda@utia.cas.cz (M. Vosvrda).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2015.12.075 0378-4371/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







to study their efficiency arriving at contradicting results. In the same timeline, foreign exchange rates are investigated as well [9,10]. The termination of the Bretton Woods system in 1971 made the detachment of gold and currency prices interesting for research of the separate phenomena [11]. Nonetheless, the two still remain tightly connected. Koutsoyiannis [12] focuses on the efficiency of gold prices and argues that the market efficiency cannot be refuted. Nevertheless, the author finds a tight connection between gold prices and the strength of the US dollar as well as the inflation, interest rates and a general state of the US economy. The gold prices and foreign exchange rates are thus found to be firmly interconnected, which is supported by another early study of Ho [13]. Frank and Stengos [14] further suggest that simple linear testing of the gold (and silver) market efficiency need not be sufficient.

The efficiency studies of foreign exchange rates are quite unique compared to the mentioned stocks and commodities as the foreign exchange rates pricing has solid macroeconomic foundations such as the balance of payment theory, the purchasing power parity, the interest rate parity, the Fisher effect and others [15–17]. These theories lead to different ways of efficiency treatment and testing.

Charles et al. [18] examine the return predictability of major foreign exchange rates between 1975 and 2009. Using various tests, the authors show that the exchange rates are unpredictable most of the time. Short-term inefficiencies are attributed to major events such as coordinated central bank interventions and financial crises. The crises perspective is further studied by Ahmad et al. [19] who focus on the Asia-Pacific region. They argue that the 1997–1998 Asian crisis was more disturbing compared to the 2008–2009 global financial crisis. In addition, the floating currency markets are found to be more resilient than the countries with managed currencies. Al-Khazali et al. [20] further examine the Asia-Pacific region using the random walk and martingale definitions of the market efficiency. Out of 8 studied currencies, only three (Australian dollar, Korean won and Malaysian ringgit) are found to be efficient while the other exchange rates offer profitable trading opportunities.

Olmo and Pilbeam [21] review the literature on the foreign exchange rate efficiency testing based on the uncovered interest rate parity. They suggest that the rejection of efficiency in this area of research may be due to significant differences in volatilities of the logarithmic changes of exchange rates and the forward premium, in addition to conditional heteroskedasticity of the data. The authors introduce a set of profitability-based tests of market efficiency based on the uncovered interest rate parity and they show that the foreign exchange rates are much closer to market efficiency than usually claimed. Chen and Tsang [22] inspect whether interest rates structure (yield curve) can be used for foreign exchange rate forecasting. They show that it is the case on time horizons between one month and two years. They also argue that these results can help explaining the uncovered interest rate parity puzzle by relating currency risk premium to inflation and business cycle risks. Bianco et al. [23] further discuss the potential of using economic fundaments for foreign exchange rates forecasting. Their fundamentals-based econometric model for weekly euro–dollar rates is shown to beat the random walk model for time horizons between one week and one month. Engel et al. [24] construct factors from exchange rates and they use their idiosyncratic deviations for forecasting. Combining these with the Taylor rule, and monetary and purchasing power parity models, they improve the forecasting power of the model compared to the random walk benchmark for the periods between 1999 and 2007 but not for earlier periods down to 1987.

Chaboud et al. [25] inspect the effect of algorithmic trading on efficiency of the foreign exchange markets in the high-frequency domain. They show that algorithmic trading improves market efficiency in two aspects – triangular arbitrage opportunities and autocorrelation of high-frequency returns. On the contrary, they argue that this may impose higher adverse selection costs on slower traders.

Studies of the foreign exchange rates efficiency, in the same way as of the other assets, primarily focus on testing whether a given currency or a set of currencies may or may not be considered efficient. To reflect this point, Kristoufek and Vosvrda [26] introduce the Efficiency Index (EI) which can be used to rank assets according to their efficiency. In addition, the index is very flexible and it can incorporate various measures of the market efficiency. In the original study, Kristoufek and Vosvrda [26] study 41 stock indices and find the Japanese NIKKEI to be the most efficient one. From a geographic perspective, the most efficient indices are localized in Europe and the least efficient ones in Asia and Latin America. Kristoufek and Vosvrda [27] further focus on the index specification and show that approximate entropy adds a significant informative value to the index. Kristoufek and Vosvrda [28] then study efficiency across various commodity futures and uncover that energy commodities are the most efficient ones whereas the livestock commodities such as cattle and hogs are the least efficient ones. Here we focus on efficiency ranking of the gold market with respect to a currency used for the purchase, and we also contribute to the discussion on statistical properties of the Efficiency Index.

2. Methods

Coming back to the roots of the efficient market hypothesis in 1965, the treatment has been split into two main branches – based on the random walk hypothesis [2] and following the martingale specification [3]. We follow the latter approach as it is less restrictive and it assumes the returns of the efficient market to be only serially uncorrelated and with finite variance. This straightforward treatment enables us to use various measures of market efficiency and use them to construct the Efficiency Index, which allows to rank financial assets according to their efficiency. In this section, we briefly describe the Efficiency Index, its components and its statistical treatment. Introducing a procedure to assess statistical features of the Efficiency Index is an important and novel contribution to this line of research.

2.1. Capital market efficiency measure

Kristoufek and Vosvrda [26-28] define the Efficiency Index (EI) as

$$EI = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\widehat{M}_{i} - M_{i}^{*}}{R_{i}}\right)^{2}},\tag{1}$$

where M_i is the *i*th measure of efficiency, $\widehat{M_i}$ is an estimate of the *i*th measure, M_i^* is an expected value of the *i*th measure for the efficient market and R_i is a range of the *i*th measure. El is thus a distance from the efficient market situation. The index can include various efficiency measures but these need to be bounded, which turns out to be rather restrictive. We utilize three efficiency measures, which meet such criterion and which are frequently used in market efficiency studies [29-35] -Hurst exponent *H* with an expected value of 0.5 for the efficient market ($M_H^* = 0.5$), fractal dimension *D* with an expected value of 1.5 ($M_D^* = 1.5$), and the approximate entropy with an expected value of 1 ($M_{AE}^* = 1$). As discussed later in this section, Hurst exponent and fractal dimension share their range for stationary processes whereas approximate entropy does not. For this point, we need to rescale the approximate entropy part of the Efficiency Index so that we have $R_{AE} = 2$ and $R_D = R_H = 1$.

2.2. Long-range dependence and its estimators

Long-range dependent series can be formally described as the ones with a power-law decaying autocorrelation function (in time domain) and/or a divergent at origin spectrum (in frequency domain). Specifically, the autocorrelation function $\rho(k)$ with time lag k of a long-range dependent process decays as $\rho(k) \propto k^{2H-2}$ for $k \to +\infty$, and spectrum $f(\lambda)$ with frequency λ scales as $f(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{1-2H}$ for $\lambda \to 0+$ [36–38]. The characteristic parameter H is Hurst exponent which has several interesting values and intervals of existence. For H < 0.5, the processes are anti-persistent and switch their sign frequently compared to an uncorrelated process. For H = 0.5, the processes are not long-range dependent, and for H > 0.5, the processes are persistent. The last group of processes can be further categorized according to stationarity and (non)existence of variance. For stationary processes, it holds that H < 1. For the purposes of the Efficiency Index construction, it is important that for an efficient market, we have H = 0.5, as well as the fact that the index is bounded for stationary processes. Out of plethora of Hurst exponent estimators [32,36–43], we choose the local Whittle estimator and the GPH estimator as they are suitable for short time series with possible weak short-term memory, and they are consistent and asymptotically normal [36–40,44].

2.3. Fractal dimension

Long-range dependence can be seen as a global characteristic of a time series. Contrary to this view, fractal dimension D can be interpreted as a measure of local memory of the series since it captures roughness of the series [26]. Fractal dimension ranges between $1 < D \leq 2$ for univariate series and this range is separated by the value of D = 1.5 for uncorrelated processes, which represents the efficient markets value. Low fractal dimension signifies lower roughness and thus local persistence. Reversely, high fractal dimension characterizes rougher series and thus locally negatively correlated. Fractal dimension is thus well defined for an efficient market and it is bounded for univariate series, which makes it a perfect candidate to be included into the Efficiency Index. Specifically, we utilize two estimators of fractal dimensions which share desirable statistical properties for short time series – Hall–Wood and Genton estimators [45,46].

2.4. Approximate entropy

Entropy is considered as a measure of complexity. High entropy suggests little or no information in the system and thus high uncertainty whereas low entropy is characteristic for deterministic systems [47]. From the efficiency perspective, systems with maximum entropy can be seen as efficient as these are serially uncorrelated. The lower the entropy level, the less efficient the market is. For the construction of the Efficiency Index, we utilize the approximate entropy which is bounded and thus well suited for the index [48].

2.5. Statistical inference

The original Efficiency Index [26] is a point estimate of the true index value. This poses problems when discussing the results and their statistical validity. We tackle this issue by introducing a new approach to estimating EI which stems in the following steps:

- 1. Obtain the estimated components \widehat{M}_i of the Efficiency Index according to Eq. (1).
- 2. Shuffle the underlying return series.
- 3. Estimate the components of the Efficiency Index for the shuffled series, and label these as $\widehat{M}_{i,shuffle}$.
- 4. Use $\widehat{M}_{i,shuffle}$ in place of M_i^* in Eq. (1).

Table 1	
Analyzed	currencies.

Currency name	Code	Currency name	Code	Currency name	Code
Afghan afghani	AFN	Ghana cedi	GHS	Pakistani rupee	PKR
Albanian lek	ALL	Gibraltar pound	GIP	Panamanian balboa	PAB
Algerian dinar	DZD	Guatemalan quetzal	GTQ	Papua New Guinean kina	PGK
Angolan kwanza	AOA	Guyanese dollar	GYD	Paraguayan guarani	PYG
Argentine peso	ARS	Haitian gourde	HTG	Peruvian nuevo sol	PEN
Armenian dram	AMD	Honduran lempira	HNL	Philippine peso	PHP
Aruban florin	AWG	Hong Kong dollar	HKD	Polish zloty	PLN
Australian dollar	AUD	Hungarian forint	HUF	Qatari riyal	QAR
Azerbaijani manat	AZN	Icelandic krona	ISK	Romanian leu	RON
Bahamian dollar	BSD	Indian rupee	INR	Russian rubble	RUB
Bahraini dinar	BHD	Indonesian rupee	IDR	Rwandan franc	RWF
Bangladeshi taka	BDT	Iragi dinar	IQD	Saint Helena pound	SHP
Barbadian dollar	BBD	Israeli new shekel	ILS	Samoan tala	WST
Belarusian ruble	BYR	Jamaican dollar	IMD	Sao Tome and Principe dobra	STD
Belize dollar	BZD	Japanese yen	J PY	Saudi riyal	SAR
Bitcoin	BTC	Jordanian dinar	JOD	Serbian dinar	RSD
Botswana pula	BWP	Kazakhstani tenge	KZT	Seychellois rupee	SCR
Brazilian real	BRL	Kenyan shilling	KES	Sierra Leonean leone	SLL
British pound	GBP	Kuwaiti dinar	KWD	Singapore dollar	SGD
Brunei dollar	BND	Kvrgvzstani som	KGS	Solomon Islands dollar	SBD
Bulgarian lev	BGN	Lao kip	LAK	Somali shilling	SOS
Burundian franc	BIF	Lebanese pound	LBP	South African rand	ZAR
Cambodian riel	KHR	Lesotho loti	LSL	South Korean won	KRW
Canadian dollar	CAD	Liberian dollar	LRD	Sri Lanka rupee	LKR
Cape Verdean escudo	CVE	Libvan dinar	LYD	Surinamese dollar	SRD
Cayman Islands dollar	KYD	Lithuanian litas	LTL	Swazi lilangeni	SZL
CEP franc	XPF	Macanese pataca	MOP	Swedish krona	SEK
Chilean peso	CLP	Macedonia denar	MKD	Swiss franc	CHF
Chinese vuan	CNY	Malagasy ariary	MGA	Svrian pound	SYP
Colombian peso	COP	Malaysian ringgit	MYR	Tajikistani somoni	TIS
Comorian franc	KMF	Maldivian rufiyaa	MVR	Tanzanian shilling	TZS
Congolese franc	CDF	Mauritanian ouguiya	MRO	Thai baht	THB
Costa Rican colon	CRC	Mauritian rupee	MUR	Tongan pa'anga	TOP
Croatian kuna	HRK	Mexican peso	MXN	Trinidad and Tobago dollar	TTD
Cuban convertible peso	CUC	Moldovan leu	MDL	Tunisian dinar	TND
Czech koruna	C7K	Mongolian togrog	MNT	Turkish lira	TRY
Danish krone	DKK	Moroccan dirham	MAD	Turkmenistan manat	TMT
Diiboutian franc	DIF	Mozambican metical	MZN	Ugandan shilling	UGX
Dominican peso	DOP	Namibian dollar	NAD	Ukrainian hrvynia	UAH
Fast Caribbean dollar	XCD	Nenalese runee	NPR	United Arab Emirates dirham	AFD
Egyptian pound	FGP	Netherlands Antillean guilder	ANG	United States dollar	LISD
Fritrean nakfa	FRN	New Taiwan dollar	TWD	Uruguayan peso	UYU
Ethiopian birr	FTR	New Zealand dollar	NZD	Uzbekistani som	1175
Furo	FUR	Nicaraguan cordoba	NIO	Vanuatu vatu	VIIV
Falkland Islands pound	FKP	Nigerian naira	NGN	Vietnamese dong	VND
Fijian dollar	FID	North Korean won	KDW	Vemeni rial	VFR
Cambian dalasi	CMD	Norwegian krone	NOK	i cincili Hai	ILK
Ceorgian lari	CFI	Omani rial	OMP		
	GLL	Uniaili fiai	OWIK		

5. Obtain \widehat{EI} based on the previous steps.

6. Repeat *N* times.

7. Obtain necessary statistics based on these N estimates.

This way, we obtain an estimate of the Efficiency Index which controls for the potential finite sample bias and the influence of distributional properties of the analyzed series. For purposes of our study, we set N = 100.

3. Results and discussion

We study the efficiency ranking of the gold¹ prices quoted in different currencies. The portfolio of study comprises 142 worldwide currencies, which are described in Table 1. The dataset has been obtained from oanda.com, which provides a large set of FX pairs as well as gold (and other precious metals) prices in various currencies. The covered period ranges between 1.1.2011 and 30.11.2014, which totals 1430 observations for each of the 142 analyzed currencies.² These currencies cover almost all available and traded fiat currencies in addition to Bitcoin, the most popular and used cryptocurrency.

¹ Gold is selected as a numéraire due to its historical reputation as a safe haven as well as its reserve status and a relative long-term price stability.

² We prefer a width of the portfolio to its depth to be able to compare as many currencies as possible.

Table 2				
Estimated Efficiency	Index for gold	prices in wo	orldwide curr	encies.

1 Liberia 0.1064 ± 0.0083 49 Albania 0.2233 ± 0.0069 97 Dominica 0.2598 ± 0.0064 3 Maldives 0.1447 ± 0.0076 51 Paraguay 0.2231 ± 0.0055 99 Uganda 0.2606 ± 0.0056 5 Somalia 0.1525 ± 0.0064 52 Haiti 0.2234 ± 0.0058 101 Arados 0.2623 ± 0.0066 6 Torga 0.1572 ± 0.0063 55 Lebanon 0.2218 ± 0.0050 104 Arados 0.2627 ± 0.0053 7 Mauritania 0.1572 ± 0.0063 55 Lebanon 0.2218 ± 0.0054 104 Saudi Arabia 0.2639 ± 0.0075 8 Rwanda 0.1656 ± 0.0083 56 Philippines 0.2234 ± 0.0054 105 Cubac 0.2649 ± 0.0064 10 S. T. & Princ 0.1680 ± 0.0083 58 Papua N. Guin. 0.2344 ± 0.0053 107 India 0.2665 ± 0.0053 11 Mozambique 0.1729 ± 0.0086 61 Parua N. Calval 0.2344 ± 0.0054 109 Tanzaia 0.2684 ± 0.0075	Rank	Country	EI	Rank	Country	EI	Rank	Country	EI
2 Seychelles 0.1167 ± 0.0067 50 Pakistan 0.2231 ± 0.0054 99 Uganda 0.2606 ± 0.0056 4 Comoros 0.1473 ± 0.0076 52 Haiti 0.2281 ± 0.0086 101 Angola 0.2623 ± 0.0067 5 Somalia 0.1571 ± 0.0094 54 Loss 0.2233 ± 0.0055 104 Saudi Arabia 0.2624 ± 0.0078 7 Mauritania 0.1572 ± 0.0063 55 Lebanon 0.2318 ± 0.0065 104 Saudi Arabia 0.2639 ± 0.0051 8 Rvanda 0.1663 ± 0.0098 56 Philippines 0.2318 ± 0.0074 105 Cuba 0.2639 ± 0.0051 10 S. T. & Princ 0.1663 ± 0.0083 58 Papua N. Cuin. 0.2314 ± 0.0133 108 Croatia 0.2674 ± 0.0053 11 Mozambique 0.1709 ± 0.0100 59 Mexico 0.2344 ± 0.0053 108 Croatia 0.2674 ± 0.0058 12 Dornin, Rep. 0.172 ± 0.0067 64 Barlacia 0.2419 ± 0.0666 112 Azerbaljan 0.2704 ± 0.0074<	1	Liberia	0.1064 ± 0.0083	49	Albania	0.2233 ± 0.0069	97	Dominica	0.2598 ± 0.0068
3 Maldives 0.1447 ± 0.0056 51 Paraguay 0.2251 ± 0.0055 99 Uganda 0.2663 ± 0.0056 5 Somalia 0.1525 ± 0.0064 53 Laos 0.2283 ± 0.0066 100 Angola 0.2623 ± 0.0076 6 Tonga 0.1571 ± 0.0063 55 Lebanon 0.2318 ± 0.0065 104 Saudi Arabia 0.2633 ± 0.0075 7 Mauritania 0.1556 ± 0.0053 55 Lebanon 0.2318 ± 0.0065 104 Saudi Arabia 0.2639 ± 0.0081 9 Chie 0.1663 ± 0.0103 55 Papua N. Cuin 0.2241 ± 0.0122 106 Turkey 0.2659 ± 0.055 11 Mozambique 0.1709 ± 0.0100 59 Mexico 0.2241 ± 0.0053 107 India 0.2665 ± 0.0053 12 Domin.Rep. 0.1727 ± 0.0109 60 Bangladesh 0.2707 ± 0.0051 108 Croatia 0.2674 ± 0.0075 14 Belze 0.1745 ± 0.0067 63 Bahrain 0.2431 ± 0.0064 111 Bulganta 0.2668 ± 0.0054	2	Seychelles	0.1167 ± 0.0067	50	Pakistan	0.2233 ± 0.0064	98	Sweden	0.2601 ± 0.0054
4 Comoros 0.1473 ± 0.0076 52 Haiti 0.2284 ± 0.0068 101 Barbados 0.2624 ± 0.0078 6 Tonga 0.1571 ± 0.0064 53 Loso 0.2283 ± 0.0066 101 Angola 0.2624 ± 0.0078 7 Mauriania 0.1572 ± 0.0053 55 Lebanon 0.2313 ± 0.0064 103 Such Arabia 0.2638 ± 0.0075 8 Rwanda 0.1656 ± 0.0033 58 Papua N, Guin. 0.2341 ± 0.0163 105 Cuba 0.2649 ± 0.0054 10 S. T. & Princ 0.1608 ± 0.0083 58 Papua N, Guin. 0.2341 ± 0.0123 106 Turkey 0.2659 ± 0.0055 11 Mozambique 0.1709 ± 0.0106 59 Mexico 0.2344 ± 0.0073 108 Coroatia 0.2674 ± 0.0054 12 Dornin, Rep. 0.1724 ± 0.0086 61 Peru 0.2401 ± 0.0061 110 Lithuaia 0.2684 ± 0.0075 13 Libya 0.1754 ± 0.0067 64 Swaziland 0.2494 ± 0.0066 112 Azerbaija 0.2686 ± 0.0049	3	Maldives	0.1447 ± 0.0060	51	Paraguay	0.2251 ± 0.0055	99	Uganda	0.2606 ± 0.0056
5 Somalia 0.152± 0.0064 53 Laos 0.2283± 0.0068 0.11 Angola 0.262± 0.0059 6 Tonga 0.157± 0.0063 55 Lebanon 0.2313± 0.0065 104 Saudi Arabia 0.2632± 0.0075 7 Mauritania 0.1655± 0.0083 55 Philippines 0.2333± 0.0054 105 Cuba 0.2639± 0.0081 9 Chile 0.1663± 0.0101 57 Tajikistan 0.2334± 0.0053 107 India 0.2665± 0.0053 11 Mozambique 0.172± 0.0108 58 Papua N. Cuin. 0.2344± 0.0053 107 India 0.2665± 0.0053 12 Domin. Rep. 0.172± 0.0088 61 Peru 0.2404± 0.0063 108 Croatia 0.2665± 0.0059 13 Libya 0.175± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2449± 0.0064 110 Libuaria 0.2685± 0.0074 14 Belize 0.175± 0.0075 65 Taiwan 0.2461± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2762± 0.0049 16	4	Comoros	0.1473 ± 0.0076	52	Haiti	0.2264 ± 0.0096	100	Barbados	0.2623 ± 0.0066
6 Tonga 0.1571 ± 0.0053 55 Lebanon 0.2318 ± 0.0060 103 Neth. Antille 0.2638 ± 0.0075 8 Rwanda 0.1656 ± 0.0093 55 Philippines 0.2331 ± 0.0065 103 Sudi Arabia 0.2639 ± 0.0081 9 Chile 0.1663 ± 0.0101 57 Tajikistan 0.2391 ± 0.0054 105 Cuba 0.2649 ± 0.0064 10 S. T. & Princ 0.1808 ± 0.0003 58 Papua N. Guin. 0.2341 ± 0.0152 106 Turkey 0.2659 ± 0.0053 11 Mozambique 0.172 ± 0.0109 60 Bangladesh 0.2370 ± 0.0053 108 Croatia 0.2674 ± 0.0053 12 Dornin Rep. 0.172 ± 0.0067 64 Swazlland 0.2494 ± 0.0066 110 Lithuania 0.2685 ± 0.0059 16 Costa Rica 0.1758 ± 0.0076 64 Jamaica 0.2494 ± 0.0066 112 Azerbaijan 0.2704 ± 0.0494 18 Indonesia 0.1773 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2495 ± 0.0071 114 Canada 0.2712 ± 0.0074	5	Somalia	0.1525 ± 0.0064	53	Laos	0.2283 ± 0.0068	101	Angola	0.2624 ± 0.0078
7 Mauritania 0.1572 ± 0.0063 55 Lebanon 0.2318 ± 0.0075 103 Neth.Antilles 0.2638 ± 0.0071 9 Chile 0.1665 ± 0.0088 56 Philippines 0.2339 ± 0.0054 105 Cuba 0.2634 ± 0.0061 10 S. T. R Princ 0.1680 ± 0.0083 58 Papua N. Cuin. 0.2314 ± 0.0123 107 India 0.2665 ± 0.0053 12 Domin. Rep. 0.1727 ± 0.0109 60 Bangladesh 0.2370 ± 0.0053 108 Croatia 0.2667 ± 0.0053 13 Libya 0.1722 ± 0.0088 61 Peru 0.2401 ± 0.0064 110 Lithuania 0.2667 ± 0.0054 14 Belize 0.1752 ± 0.0067 63 Bahrain 0.2449 ± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2666 ± 0.0049 16 Costa Rica 0.1758 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2449 ± 0.0069 113 Karaba 0.270 ± 0.0047 17 Prolynesia 0.1773 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2459 ± 0.0071 114 Canada 0.2712 ± 0.0062 </td <td>6</td> <td>Tonga</td> <td>0.1571 ± 0.0094</td> <td>54</td> <td>Solomon Isl.</td> <td>0.2307 ± 0.0111</td> <td>102</td> <td>Denmark</td> <td>0.2627 ± 0.0059</td>	6	Tonga	0.1571 ± 0.0094	54	Solomon Isl.	0.2307 ± 0.0111	102	Denmark	0.2627 ± 0.0059
8 Rwanda 0.1656 ± 0.0098 56 Philippines 0.2323 ± 0.0054 104 Suid Arabia 0.2639 ± 0.0084 10 S. T. & Princ 0.1663 ± 0.0101 57 Tajikistan 0.2341 ± 0.0122 106 Turkey 0.2639 ± 0.0055 11 Mozambique 0.1709 ± 0.0100 59 Mexico 0.2341 ± 0.0053 107 India 0.2664 ± 0.0053 12 Dorinn. Rep. 0.1727 ± 0.0109 60 Bargladesh 0.2374 ± 0.0053 108 Croatia 0.2674 ± 0.0053 13 Libya 0.1723 ± 0.0067 63 Bahrain 0.2435 ± 0.0066 111 Bulgaria 0.2666 ± 0.0049 16 Costa Rica 0.1758 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2454 ± 0.0066 112 Azerbaijan 0.2704 ± 0.0049 17 F. Polynesia 0.1768 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2461 ± 0.0068 113 Macrbaija 0.2704 ± 0.0049 18 Indonesia 0.1784 ± 0.013 67 Eritrea 0.2461 ± 0.0069 115 Moracco 0.27	7	Mauritania	0.1572 ± 0.0063	55	Lebanon	0.2318 ± 0.0060	103	Neth. Antilles	0.2638 ± 0.0075
9 Chile 0.1663 ± 0.0101 57 Tajikistan 0.233 ± 0.0054 105 Cuba 0.2404 ± 0.0064 10 S.T. & Princ. 0.1709 ± 0.0100 59 Mexico 0.2344 ± 0.0053 107 India 0.2665 ± 0.0053 12 Domin. Rep. 0.1722 ± 0.0088 61 Peru 0.2401 ± 0.0081 109 Tarazina 0.2884 ± 0.0075 14 Belize 0.1732 ± 0.0082 62 Malaysia 0.2419 ± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2665 ± 0.0054 15 Madagascar 0.1752 ± 0.0076 63 Bahrain 0.2436 ± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2666 ± 0.0049 16 Costa Rica 0.1735 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2459 ± 0.0071 114 Canada 0.2710 ± 0.0049 18 Indonesia 0.1773 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2459 ± 0.0071 114 Canada 0.2712 ± 0.0047 19 Burundi 0.1794 ± 0.0103 67 Erirea 0.2468 ± 0.0077 118 Kueroa 0.2733 ± 0.0062	8	Rwanda	0.1656 ± 0.0098	56	Philippines	0.2323 ± 0.0065	104	Saudi Arabia	0.2639 ± 0.0081
	9	Chile	0.1663 ± 0.0101	57	Tajikistan	0.2339 ± 0.0054	105	Cuba	0.2640 ± 0.0064
	10	S. T. & Princ	0.1680 ± 0.0083	58	Papua N. Guin.	0.2341 ± 0.0122	106	Turkey	0.2659 ± 0.0055
12 Domin. Rep. 0.172 ± 0.0109 60 Bangladesh 0.2370 ± 0.0053 108 Croatia 0.2684 ± 0.0075 13 Libya 0.172 ± 0.0088 61 Peru 0.2401 ± 0.0081 109 Tanzania 0.2684 ± 0.0075 14 Belize 0.1743 ± 0.0082 62 Malaysia 0.2419 ± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2686 ± 0.0049 15 Madagascar 0.1758 ± 0.0076 63 Bahrain 0.2436 ± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2696 ± 0.0049 16 Costa Rica 0.1788 ± 0.0076 65 Taiwan 0.2459 ± 0.0079 113 Czech Rep. 0.2704 ± 0.0049 18 Indonesia 0.1734 ± 0.0103 67 Eritrea 0.2461 ± 0.0069 115 Morocco 0.2727 ± 0.0047 20 Macedonia 0.1828 ± 0.0073 68 Qatar 0.2472 ± 0.1010 118 Guada 0.2732 ± 0.0057 21 Macedonia 0.1834 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2473 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0078 <td>11</td> <td>Mozambique</td> <td>0.1709 ± 0.0100</td> <td>59</td> <td>Mexico</td> <td>0.2344 ± 0.0053</td> <td>107</td> <td>India</td> <td>0.2665 ± 0.0053</td>	11	Mozambique	0.1709 ± 0.0100	59	Mexico	0.2344 ± 0.0053	107	India	0.2665 ± 0.0053
13 Libya 0.1743 ± 0.0082 61 Peru 0.2401 ± 0.0081 109 Tanzania 0.2687 ± 0.0056 14 Belize 0.1743 ± 0.0082 62 Malaysia 0.2436 ± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2687 ± 0.0049 15 Madagascar 0.1752 ± 0.0057 63 Bahrain 0.2436 ± 0.0066 112 Azerbaijan 0.2704 ± 0.0049 16 Costa Rica 0.1758 ± 0.0075 64 Swaziland 0.2435 ± 0.0096 112 Azerbaijan 0.2704 ± 0.0049 18 Indonesia 0.1734 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2461 ± 0.0069 115 Morcoco 0.2727 ± 0.0047 20 Mauritius 0.1788 ± 0.0073 68 Qatar 0.2468 ± 0.0071 117 Armenia 0.2732 ± 0.0067 21 Dijbouti 0.1834 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2472 ± 0.0101 118 Guyana 0.2747 ± 0.0072 22 Lecland 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2478 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (IRC) 0.2760 ± 0.073 <td>12</td> <td>Domin. Rep.</td> <td>0.1727 ± 0.0109</td> <td>60</td> <td>Bangladesh</td> <td>0.2370 ± 0.0053</td> <td>108</td> <td>Croatia</td> <td>0.2674 ± 0.0058</td>	12	Domin. Rep.	0.1727 ± 0.0109	60	Bangladesh	0.2370 ± 0.0053	108	Croatia	0.2674 ± 0.0058
14 Belize 0.1732 ± 0.0067 63 Bahrain 0.2419 ± 0.0066 110 Lithuania 0.2687 ± 0.0059 15 Madagascar 0.1758 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2436 ± 0.0096 111 Bulgaria 0.2704 ± 0.0066 16 Costa Rica 0.1758 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2459 ± 0.0091 113 Czech Rep. 0.2704 ± 0.0064 18 Indonesia 0.1794 ± 0.0103 67 Eritrea 0.2451 ± 0.0069 115 Morocco 0.2727 ± 0.0047 20 Mauritius 0.1794 ± 0.0103 68 Qatar 0.2468 ± 0.0071 114 Canada 0.2732 ± 0.0052 21 Djibouti 0.1828 ± 0.0074 70 Argentina 0.2473 ± 0.0071 117 Armenia 0.2732 ± 0.0057 24 Iceland 0.1841 ± 0.0075 72 Yemen 0.2476 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0078 25 Cape Verde 0.1874 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0051 <td>13</td> <td>Libya</td> <td>0.1729 ± 0.0088</td> <td>61</td> <td>Peru</td> <td>0.2401 ± 0.0081</td> <td>109</td> <td>Tanzania</td> <td>0.2684 ± 0.0075</td>	13	Libya	0.1729 ± 0.0088	61	Peru	0.2401 ± 0.0081	109	Tanzania	0.2684 ± 0.0075
15 Madagascar 0.1752 ± 0.0067 63 Bahrain 0.2436 ± 0.0064 111 Bulgaria 0.2696 ± 0.0049 16 Costa Rica 0.1758 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2449 ± 0.0066 112 Azerbaijan 0.2700 ± 0.0066 17 Fr. Polynesia 0.1773 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2450 ± 0.0071 114 Cacch Rep. 0.2714 ± 0.0044 18 Indonesia 0.1773 ± 0.008 66 Jamaica 0.2451 ± 0.0071 114 Cacah A 0.2715 ± 0.0047 20 Mauritius 0.1798 ± 0.0073 68 Qatar 0.2461 ± 0.0068 116 Macau 0.2732 ± 0.0072 21 Dijbouti 0.1828 ± 0.0078 70 Argentina 0.2472 ± 0.0071 118 Guyana 0.2773 ± 0.0073 22 Kacedonia 0.1834 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2478 ± 0.0070 120 Serbia 0.2770 ± 0.0073 23 Uzbekistan 0.1834 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2478 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2771 ± 0.0073 24 Icano 0.1876 ± 0.0069 76 Kenya	14	Belize	0.1743 ± 0.0082	62	Malaysia	0.2419 ± 0.0069	110	Lithuania	0.2687 ± 0.0056
16 Costa Rica 0.1758 ± 0.0076 64 Swaziland 0.2450 ± 0.0069 112 Azerbaijan 0.2700 ± 0.0066 17 Fr. Polynesia 0.1763 ± 0.0059 65 Taiwan 0.2459 ± 0.0071 114 Caech Rep. 0.2704 ± 0.0049 18 Indonesia 0.1773 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2459 ± 0.0071 114 Canada 0.2716 ± 0.0054 19 Burundi 0.1794 ± 0.0103 67 Eritrea 0.2461 ± 0.0068 116 Macca 0.2723 ± 0.0062 21 Djibouti 0.1828 ± 0.0084 69 Trin. & Tob. 0.2468 ± 0.0071 117 Armenia 0.2733 ± 0.0075 22 Macedonia 0.1834 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2476 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2766 ± 0.0073 23 Uzbekistan 0.1870 ± 0.0061 75 Kuwait 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0057 24 Iceland 0.1870 ± 0.0086 77 Jordan 0.2491 ± 0.0065 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0057 <td>15</td> <td>Madagascar</td> <td>0.1752 ± 0.0067</td> <td>63</td> <td>Bahrain</td> <td>0.2436 ± 0.0064</td> <td>111</td> <td>Bulgaria</td> <td>0.2696 ± 0.0049</td>	15	Madagascar	0.1752 ± 0.0067	63	Bahrain	0.2436 ± 0.0064	111	Bulgaria	0.2696 ± 0.0049
17 Fr. Polynesia 0.1778 ± 0.0068 65 Taiwan 0.2459 ± 0.0079 113 Czech Rep. 0.2704 ± 0.0049 18 Indonesia 0.1773 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2459 ± 0.0071 114 Canada 0.2716 ± 0.0054 19 Burundi 0.1798 ± 0.0073 68 Qatar 0.2461 ± 0.0069 115 Macra 0.2722 ± 0.0042 21 Djibouti 0.1828 ± 0.0078 70 Argentina 0.2472 ± 0.010 118 Guyana 0.2742 ± 0.0074 23 Uzbekistan 0.1836 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2472 ± 0.010 118 Guyana 0.2757 ± 0.0057 24 Iceland 0.1841 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2474 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0078 25 Cape Verde 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2479 ± 0.0076 121 Singapore 0.2775 ± 0.0053 27 Ghana 0.1876 ± 0.0090 76 Kewaia 0.2499 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0058 28 Mongolia 0.1876 ± 0.0065 78 Thailand 0.2	16	Costa Rica	0.1758 ± 0.0076	64	Swaziland	0.2449 ± 0.0066	112	Azerbaijan	0.2700 ± 0.0066
18 Indonesia 0.1773 ± 0.0068 66 Jamaica 0.2461 ± 0.0069 114 Canada 0.2716 ± 0.0054 19 Burundi 0.1794 ± 0.0103 67 Eritrea 0.2461 ± 0.0068 116 Macoco 0.2727 ± 0.0047 20 Mauritius 0.1783 ± 0.0073 68 Qatar 0.2461 ± 0.0068 116 Macau 0.2732 ± 0.0062 21 Djibouti 0.1828 ± 0.0078 70 Argentina 0.2472 ± 0.0071 117 Armenia 0.2732 ± 0.0072 23 Uzbekistan 0.1834 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2473 ± 0.0071 119 Serbia 0.2757 ± 0.0057 24 Iceland 0.1840 ± 0.0055 72 Yemen 0.2478 ± 0.0076 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0073 25 Cape Verde 0.1817 ± 0.0061 75 Kuwait 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0058 26 Nicaragua 0.1876 ± 0.0086 77 Jordan 0.2491 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2778 ± 0.0069	17	Fr. Polynesia	0.1768 ± 0.0059	65	Taiwan	0.2450 ± 0.0099	113	Czech Rep.	0.2704 ± 0.0049
19 Burundi 0.1794 ± 0.0103 67 Eritrea 0.2461 ± 0.0069 115 Morocco 0.2732 ± 0.0047 20 Mauritius 0.1798 ± 0.0073 68 Qatar 0.2461 ± 0.0068 116 Macau 0.2732 ± 0.0062 21 Djibouti 0.1834 ± 0.0078 70 Argentina 0.2472 ± 0.0100 118 Guyana 0.2742 ± 0.0074 23 Macedonia 0.1836 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2472 ± 0.0107 119 Serbia 0.2757 ± 0.0077 24 Iceland 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2478 ± 0.0060 121 Singapore 0.2775 ± 0.0073 25 Cape Verde 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2479 ± 0.0072 122 EU 0.2775 ± 0.0073 26 Gana 0.1876 ± 0.0080 76 Kenya 0.2481 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0053 27 Jordan 0.2497 ± 0.0073 126 Hungary 0.2819 ± 0.0055 131 Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79 <	18	Indonesia	0.1773 ± 0.0068	66	Jamaica	0.2459 ± 0.0071	114	Canada	0.2716 ± 0.0054
20 Mauritius 0.1798 ± 0.0073 68 Qatar 0.2461 ± 0.0068 116 Macau 0.2732 ± 0.0062 21 Djibouti 0.1828 ± 0.0084 69 Trin. & Tob. 0.2468 ± 0.0071 117 Armenia 0.2732 ± 0.0073 22 Macedonia 0.1836 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2473 ± 0.0100 118 Guyana 0.2742 ± 0.0074 23 Uzbekistan 0.1836 ± 0.0075 72 Yemen 0.2476 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0073 24 Iceland 0.1840 ± 0.0055 72 Yemen 0.2476 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2770 ± 0.0073 25 Sierra Ieone 0.1870 ± 0.0061 75 Kuwait 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0053 28 Mongolia 0.1876 ± 0.0086 77 Jordan 0.2491 ± 0.0058 124 Poland 0.2775 ± 0.0053 29 Nicaragua 0.1894 ± 0.0086 77 Jordan 0.2490 ± 0.0053 125 Saint Helena 0.2818 ± 0.0111	19	Burundi	0.1794 ± 0.0103	67	Eritrea	0.2461 ± 0.0069	115	Morocco	0.2727 ± 0.0047
21 Djibouti 0.1828 ± 0.0084 69 Trin. & Tob. 0.2468 ± 0.0071 117 Armenia 0.2733 ± 0.0095 22 Macedonia 0.1834 ± 0.0078 70 Argentina 0.2472 ± 0.0100 118 Guyana 0.2732 ± 0.0077 23 Uzbekistan 0.1840 ± 0.0055 72 Yemen 0.2473 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0078 24 Iceland 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2478 ± 0.0090 120 Singapore 0.2770 ± 0.0073 26 Sierra Leone 0.1875 ± 0.0069 74 Botswana 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0058 27 Ghana 0.1876 ± 0.0090 76 Kenya 0.2481 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2781 ± 0.0056 28 Micaragua 0.1896 ± 0.0065 78 Thailand 0.2497 ± 0.0073 126 Hungary 0.2819 ± 0.0055 21 Nepal 0.1955 ± 0.0056 79 China 0.2509 ± 0.0076 128 Australia 0.2819 ± 0.0055	20	Mauritius	0.1798 ± 0.0073	68	Qatar	0.2461 ± 0.0068	116	Macau	0.2732 ± 0.0062
22Macedonia0.1834 \pm 0.007870Argentina0.2472 \pm 0.0100118Guyana0.2742 \pm 0.007423Uzbekistan0.1836 \pm 0.007571Aruba0.2473 \pm 0.0077119Serbia0.2757 \pm 0.005724Iceland0.1844 \pm 0.005772Yemen0.2476 \pm 0.0070120Corgo (DRC)0.2760 \pm 0.007325Cape Verde0.1841 \pm 0.007473Ethiopia0.2478 \pm 0.0060121Singapore0.2773 \pm 0.007326Sierra Leone0.1876 \pm 0.008674Botswana0.2479 \pm 0.0076123Norway0.2773 \pm 0.005127Ghana0.1876 \pm 0.008677Jordan0.2481 \pm 0.0055124Poland0.2781 \pm 0.005528Mongolia0.1876 \pm 0.008677Jordan0.2497 \pm 0.0076123Norway0.2781 \pm 0.005529Nicaragua0.1896 \pm 0.006578Thailand0.2497 \pm 0.0076126Hungary0.2818 \pm 0.011130Cambodia0.1905 \pm 0.006579China0.2509 \pm 0.0070128Australia0.2824 \pm 0.002331Nepal0.1905 \pm 0.006880Namibia0.2513 \pm 0.0078129South Korea0.2846 \pm 0.007334Israel0.1987 \pm 0.007883Panama0.2528 \pm 0.0069131Japan0.2905 \pm 0.007635Iraq0.1987 \pm 0.007684Sri Lanka0.2535 \pm 0.0066132Switzerland0.2905 \pm 0.0077 <td>21</td> <td>Djibouti</td> <td>0.1828 ± 0.0084</td> <td>69</td> <td>Trin. & Tob.</td> <td>0.2468 ± 0.0071</td> <td>117</td> <td>Armenia</td> <td>0.2733 ± 0.0095</td>	21	Djibouti	0.1828 ± 0.0084	69	Trin. & Tob.	0.2468 ± 0.0071	117	Armenia	0.2733 ± 0.0095
23 Uzbekistan 0.1836 ± 0.0075 71 Aruba 0.2473 ± 0.0077 119 Serbia 0.2757 ± 0.0057 24 Iceland 0.1840 ± 0.0055 72 Yemen 0.2476 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0078 25 Cape Verde 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2478 ± 0.0060 121 Singapore 0.2770 ± 0.0073 26 Sierra Leone 0.1855 ± 0.0089 74 Botswana 0.2479 ± 0.0092 122 EU 0.2773 ± 0.0051 27 Ghana 0.1876 ± 0.0090 76 Kenya 0.2481 ± 0.0055 124 Poland 0.2781 ± 0.0069 29 Nicaragua 0.1896 ± 0.0055 78 Thailand 0.2490 ± 0.0070 126 Hungary 0.2818 ± 0.0111 30 Cambodia 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79 China 0.2500 ± 0.0070 128 Australia 0.2824 ± 0.0092 31 Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0068 80 Narnibia 0.2513 ± 0.0076 130 Honduras 0.2846 ± 0.0079	22	Macedonia	0.1834 ± 0.0078	70	Argentina	0.2472 ± 0.0100	118	Guyana	0.2742 ± 0.0074
24 Iceland 0.1840 ± 0.0055 72 Yemen 0.2476 ± 0.0070 120 Congo (DRC) 0.2760 ± 0.0078 25 Cape Verde 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73 Ethiopia 0.2478 ± 0.0060 121 Singapore 0.2770 ± 0.0073 26 Sierra Leone 0.1855 ± 0.0089 74 Botswana 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2773 ± 0.0051 27 Ghana 0.1870 ± 0.0061 75 Kuwait 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123 Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0058 28 Mongolia 0.1896 ± 0.0086 77 Jordan 0.2497 ± 0.0073 124 Poland 0.2781 ± 0.0059 30 Cambodia 0.1896 ± 0.0055 79 China 0.2500 ± 0.0070 128 Australia 0.2819 ± 0.0072 31 Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0068 81 Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0078 129 South Korea 0.2846 ± 0.0079 33 Brazil 0.1950 ± 0.0068 82 Tunisia 0.2515 ± 0.0076 130 Hoduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082	23	Uzbekistan	0.1836 ± 0.0075	71	Aruba	0.2473 ± 0.0077	119	Serbia	0.2757 ± 0.0057
25Cape Verde 0.1841 ± 0.0074 73Ethiopia 0.2478 ± 0.0060 121Singapore 0.2770 ± 0.0073 26Siera Leone 0.1855 ± 0.0089 74Botswana 0.2479 ± 0.0052 122EU 0.2773 ± 0.0051 27Ghana 0.1876 ± 0.0090 75Kuwait 0.2479 ± 0.0058 124Poland 0.2775 ± 0.0058 28Mongolia 0.1876 ± 0.0090 76Kenya 0.2481 ± 0.0058 124Poland 0.2781 ± 0.0059 29Nicaragua 0.1896 ± 0.0065 78Thailand 0.2490 ± 0.0065 125Saint Helena 0.2818 ± 0.0111 30Cambodia 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79China 0.2500 ± 0.0069 127Nigeria 0.2284 ± 0.0092 31Nepal 0.1925 ± 0.0086 80Namibia 0.2509 ± 0.0070 128Australia 0.2839 ± 0.0067 33Brazil 0.1950 ± 0.0063 81Georgia 0.2515 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.3101 ± 0.0169 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0108 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0108 <	24	Iceland	0.1840 ± 0.0055	72	Yemen	0.2476 ± 0.0070	120	Congo (DRC)	0.2760 ± 0.0078
26Sterra Leone 0.1855 ± 0.0089 74Botswana 0.2479 ± 0.0092 122EU 0.2773 ± 0.0051 27Ghana 0.1870 ± 0.0061 75Kuwait 0.2479 ± 0.0076 123Norway 0.2775 ± 0.0058 28Mongolia 0.1876 ± 0.0090 76Kenya 0.2481 ± 0.0058 124Poland 0.2781 ± 0.0069 29Nicaragua 0.1896 ± 0.0065 78Thailand 0.2497 ± 0.0073 126Hungary 0.2819 ± 0.0055 31Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79China 0.2500 ± 0.0069 127Nigeria 0.2824 ± 0.0092 32Bahamas 0.1925 ± 0.0068 80Namibia 0.2500 ± 0.0070 128Australia 0.2846 ± 0.0079 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0068 81Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0066 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0067 134Honduras 0.2997 ± 0.0059 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3118 ± 0.0101 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3118 ± 0.0101 39Algeria 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Roman 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3117 ± 0.0098 <t< td=""><td>25</td><td>Cape Verde</td><td>0.1841 ± 0.0074</td><td>73</td><td>Ethiopia</td><td>0.2478 ± 0.0060</td><td>121</td><td>Singapore</td><td>0.2770 ± 0.0073</td></t<>	25	Cape Verde	0.1841 ± 0.0074	73	Ethiopia	0.2478 ± 0.0060	121	Singapore	0.2770 ± 0.0073
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	Sierra Leone	0.1855 ± 0.0089	74	Botswana	0.2479 ± 0.0092	122	EU	0.2773 ± 0.0051
28Mongolia 0.1876 ± 0.0090 76Kenya 0.2481 ± 0.0058 124Poland 0.2781 ± 0.0069 29Nicaragua 0.1894 ± 0.0086 77Jordan 0.2490 ± 0.0065 125Saint Helena 0.2818 ± 0.0111 30Cambodia 0.1896 ± 0.0065 78Thailand 0.2497 ± 0.0073 126Hungary 0.2814 ± 0.0092 31Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79China 0.2500 ± 0.0069 127Nigeria 0.2824 ± 0.0092 32Bahamas 0.1925 ± 0.0086 80Namibia 0.2509 ± 0.0070 128Australia 0.2839 ± 0.0067 33Brazil 0.1936 ± 0.0063 81Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2904 ± 0.0082 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0059 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3114 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0071 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3172 ± 0.0098 <	27	Ghana	0.1870 ± 0.0061	75	Kuwait	0.2479 ± 0.0076	123	Norway	0.2775 ± 0.0058
29Nicaragua 0.1894 ± 0.0086 77Jordan 0.2490 ± 0.0065 125Saint Helena 0.2818 ± 0.0111 30Cambodia 0.1896 ± 0.0065 78Thailand 0.2497 ± 0.0073 126Hungary 0.2819 ± 0.0055 31Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79China 0.2500 ± 0.0069 127Nigeria 0.2824 ± 0.0092 32Bahamas 0.1925 ± 0.0086 80Namibia 0.2509 ± 0.0070 128Australia 0.2824 ± 0.0092 33Brazil 0.1936 ± 0.0063 81Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0078 129South Korea 0.2846 ± 0.0079 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0068 82Tunisia 0.2515 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colmbia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2535 ± 0.0072 133Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0075 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0165 88Oman 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3172 ± 0.0108 <	28	Mongolia	0.1876 ± 0.0090	76	Kenya	0.2481 ± 0.0058	124	Poland	0.2781 ± 0.0069
30Cambodia 0.1896 ± 0.0065 78Thailand 0.2497 ± 0.0073 126Hungary 0.2819 ± 0.0055 31Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79China 0.2500 ± 0.0069 127Nigeria 0.2824 ± 0.0092 32Bahamas 0.1925 ± 0.0086 80Namibia 0.2509 ± 0.0070 128Australia 0.2839 ± 0.0067 33Brazil 0.1936 ± 0.0063 81Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0078 129South Korea 0.2846 ± 0.0079 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0068 82Tunisia 0.2513 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3112 ± 0.0103 40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0105 88Oman 0.2565 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2102 ± 0.0099 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3172 ± 0.0108 42Suriname 0.2102 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 </td <td>29</td> <td>Nicaragua</td> <td>0.1894 ± 0.0086</td> <td>77</td> <td>Jordan</td> <td>0.2490 ± 0.0065</td> <td>125</td> <td>Saint Helena</td> <td>0.2818 ± 0.0111</td>	29	Nicaragua	0.1894 ± 0.0086	77	Jordan	0.2490 ± 0.0065	125	Saint Helena	0.2818 ± 0.0111
31Nepal 0.1905 ± 0.0055 79China 0.2500 ± 0.0069 127Nigeria 0.2824 ± 0.0092 32Bahamas 0.1925 ± 0.0086 80Namibia 0.2509 ± 0.0070 128Australia 0.2839 ± 0.0067 33Brazil 0.1936 ± 0.0063 81Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0078 129South Korea 0.2846 ± 0.0079 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0068 82Tunisia 0.2515 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0076 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2905 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2551 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0059 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3172 ± 0.008 41Cayman Isl. 0.2103 ± 0.0059 88Oman 0.2555 ± 0.0070 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.008 42Suriname 0.2102 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0171 138Vanuatu 0.3172 ± 0.008 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 <tr< td=""><td>30</td><td>Cambodia</td><td>0.1896 ± 0.0065</td><td>78</td><td>Thailand</td><td>0.2497 ± 0.0073</td><td>126</td><td>Hungary</td><td>0.2819 ± 0.0055</td></tr<>	30	Cambodia	0.1896 ± 0.0065	78	Thailand	0.2497 ± 0.0073	126	Hungary	0.2819 ± 0.0055
32Bahamas 0.1925 ± 0.0086 80Namibia 0.2509 ± 0.0070 128Australia 0.2839 ± 0.0067 33Brazil 0.1936 ± 0.0063 81Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0078 129South Korea 0.2846 ± 0.0079 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0068 82Tunisia 0.2515 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2551 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3112 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2003 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3112 ± 0.0102 41Cayman Isl. 0.2103 ± 0.0105 88Oman 0.2555 ± 0.0065 135Falkland Isl. 0.3172 ± 0.0108 42Suriname 0.2102 ± 0.0099 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140Gambia $0.3530 $	31	Nepal	0.1905 ± 0.0055	79	China	0.2500 ± 0.0069	127	Nigeria	0.2824 ± 0.0092
33Brazil 0.1936 ± 0.0063 81Georgia 0.2513 ± 0.0078 129South Korea 0.2846 ± 0.0079 34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0068 82Tunisia 0.2515 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2551 ± 0.0072 133South Africa 0.2997 ± 0.0059 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2003 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0065 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0155 88Oman 0.2555 ± 0.0061 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0099 90Vietnam 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3373 ± 0.0069 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3574 ± 0.016	32	Bahamas	0.1925 ± 0.0086	80	Namibia	0.2509 ± 0.0070	128	Australia	0.2839 ± 0.0067
34Israel 0.1950 ± 0.0068 82Tunisia 0.2515 ± 0.0076 130Honduras 0.2904 ± 0.0082 35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2551 ± 0.0072 133South Africa 0.2997 ± 0.0059 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0065 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0099 90Vietnam 0.2555 ± 0.0177 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2578 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3574 ± 0.0095 44Kazakhstan 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0056 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 45Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2589 ± 0.0066 142Bitcoin 0.3846	33	Brazil	0.1936 ± 0.0063	81	Georgia	0.2513 ± 0.0078	129	South Korea	0.2846 ± 0.0079
35Iraq 0.1987 ± 0.0078 83Panama 0.2528 ± 0.0069 131Japan 0.2905 ± 0.0077 36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2551 ± 0.0072 133South Africa 0.2997 ± 0.0059 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0065 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2102 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0177 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0161 46Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0083 95North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0066 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47Brunei 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 141Egypt $0.3846 \pm$	34	Israel	0.1950 ± 0.0068	82	Tunisia	0.2515 ± 0.0076	130	Honduras	0.2904 ± 0.0082
36Colombia 0.2010 ± 0.0076 84Sri Lanka 0.2539 ± 0.0046 132Switzerland 0.2921 ± 0.0056 37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2551 ± 0.0072 133South Africa 0.2997 ± 0.0059 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0065 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Roman 0.2559 ± 0.0061 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2102 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0170 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0170 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2578 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0066 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 46Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0068 95North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 48Uruguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 141Egypt $0.3846 $	35	Iraq	0.1987 ± 0.0078	83	Panama	0.2528 ± 0.0069	131	Japan	0.2905 ± 0.0077
37Samoa 0.2042 ± 0.0091 85UAE 0.2551 ± 0.0072 133South Africa 0.2997 ± 0.0059 38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0075 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0105 88Oman 0.2555 ± 0.0061 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2565 ± 0.0170 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0170 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2578 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyztan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.016 46Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0083 95North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0085 96USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 141Sitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076	36	Colombia	0.2010 ± 0.0076	84	Sri Lanka	0.2539 ± 0.0046	132	Switzerland	0.2921 ± 0.0056
38Moldova 0.2061 ± 0.0062 86Turkmenistan 0.2555 ± 0.0075 134New Zealand 0.3101 ± 0.0102 39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0065 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0105 88Oman 0.2559 ± 0.0061 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0099 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.016 46Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0069 94Rusa 0.2596 ± 0.0066 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 48Uruguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 141	37	Samoa	0.2042 ± 0.0091	85	UAE	0.2551 ± 0.0072	133	South Africa	0.2997 ± 0.0059
39Algeria 0.2063 ± 0.0063 87Lesotho 0.2555 ± 0.0065 135Falkland Isl. 0.3118 ± 0.0101 40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0105 88Oman 0.2559 ± 0.0061 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0056 141Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0116 46Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0060 94Russia 0.2596 ± 0.0064 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 448Uruguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069	38	Moldova	0.2061 ± 0.0062	86	Turkmenistan	0.2555 ± 0.0075	134	New Zealand	0.3101 ± 0.0102
40Ukraine 0.2103 ± 0.0105 88Oman 0.2559 ± 0.0061 136UK 0.3172 ± 0.0108 41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0116 46Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0060 94Russia 0.2596 ± 0.0064 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95North Korean 0.2597 ± 0.0069 141 $Egypt$ 0.3846 ± 0.0076 48Uruguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 142 Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076	39	Algeria	0.2063 ± 0.0063	87	Lesotho	0.2555 ± 0.0065	135	Falkland Isl.	0.3118 ± 0.0101
41Cayman Isl. 0.2105 ± 0.0059 89Romania 0.2560 ± 0.0070 137Gibraltar 0.3177 ± 0.0098 42Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0090 90Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140Gambia 0.3574 ± 0.0095 45Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0116 46Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0060 94Russia 0.2596 ± 0.0066 142Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 Vanuatu 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069	40	Ukraine	0.2103 ± 0.0105	88	Oman	0.2559 ± 0.0061	136	UK	0.3172 ± 0.0108
42 Suriname 0.2120 ± 0.0090 90 Vietnam 0.2565 ± 0.0117 138 Vanuatu 0.3196 ± 0.0078 43 Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91 Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139 Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44 Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92 Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140 Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45 Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93 Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141 Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0116 46 Afghanistan 0.2122 ± 0.0083 95 North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 142 Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47 Brunei 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96 USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 5 5	41	Cayman Isl.	0.2105 ± 0.0059	89	Romania	0.2560 ± 0.0070	137	Gibraltar	0.3177 ± 0.0098
43 Fiji 0.2137 ± 0.0099 91 Guatemala 0.2572 ± 0.0056 139 Belarus 0.3373 ± 0.0069 44 Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92 Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140 Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45 Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93 Kyrgystan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141 Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0116 46 Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0060 94 Russia 0.2596 ± 0.0066 142 Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47 Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95 North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 44 Virguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96 USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 44 56 54 56 56	42	Suriname	0.2120 ± 0.0090	90	Vietnam	0.2565 ± 0.0117	138	Vanuatu	0.3196 ± 0.0078
44 Kazakhstan 0.2146 ± 0.0065 92 Hong Kong 0.2576 ± 0.0075 140 Gambia 0.3530 ± 0.0095 45 Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93 Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141 Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0116 46 Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0060 94 Russia 0.2589 ± 0.0066 142 Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47 Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95 North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 Image: Control = 100000000000000000000000000000000000	43	Fiji	0.2137 ± 0.0099	91	Guatemala	0.2572 ± 0.0056	139	Belarus	0.3373 ± 0.0069
45 Syria 0.2149 ± 0.0067 93 Kyrgyzstan 0.2578 ± 0.0059 141 Egypt 0.3574 ± 0.0116 46 Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0060 94 Russia 0.2589 ± 0.0066 142 Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47 Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95 North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 56	44	Kazakhstan	0.2146 ± 0.0065	92	Hong Kong	0.2576 ± 0.0075	140	Gambia	0.3530 ± 0.0095
46 Afghanistan 0.2179 ± 0.0060 94 Russia 0.2589 ± 0.0066 142 Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 47 Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95 North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 Bitcoin 0.3846 ± 0.0076 48 Uruguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96 USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069 5	45	Syria	0.2149 ± 0.0067	93	Kyrgyzstan	0.2578 ± 0.0059	141	Egypt	0.3574 ± 0.0116
47 Brunei 0.2221 ± 0.0083 95 North Korean 0.2596 ± 0.0064 48 Uruguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96 USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069	46	Afghanistan	0.2179 ± 0.0060	94	Russia	0.2589 ± 0.0066	142	Bitcoin	0.3846 ± 0.0076
48 Uruguay 0.2226 ± 0.0056 96 USA 0.2597 ± 0.0069	47	Brunei	0.2221 ± 0.0083	95	North Korean	0.2596 ± 0.0064			
	48	Uruguay	0.2226 ± 0.0056	96	USA	0.2597 ± 0.0069			

For the efficiency ranking, we use the Efficiency Index (Eq. (1)) with adjustments described in Section 2.5. Specifically, we utilize two measures of long-range dependence – the local Whittle estimator and the GPH estimator –, two measures of fractal dimension – the Hall–Wood estimator and the Genton estimator – and the approximate entropy as proposed by Pincus and Kalman [47]. In the procedures, we follow the standard procedure of using the logarithmic returns for the Hurst exponent and approximate entropy estimators, and logarithmic prices for the fractal dimension estimation. Using 100 repetitions (shuffling), we obtain the estimated Efficiency Index as a median value with a corresponding standard error for more information about precision of the estimate.

The resulting ranking of gold prices with respect to the used currency is presented in Table 2. The ranking is rather unexpected or even surprising. Practically all of the most liquid currencies – the US dollar, the British pound, the Australian dollar, the New Zealand dollar, the Japanese yen, the Euro, the South Korean won, the Norwegian krone – are among the least efficient gold markets (the least efficient third of the sample). Among these, also the Bitcoin currency lays at the very bottom of the ranking. On the other side of the ranking, the Top 5 is formed by the Liberian dollar, the Seychellois rupee, the Maldivian rufiyaa, the Comorian franc, and the Somali shilling. The differences between levels of El are stunning as the most efficient markets share the index between 0.1 and 0.2 whereas the least efficient ones jump close to 0.4. Such divergence is further accentuated by very low standard errors of the estimates usually below 0.01 (medians and standard errors are reported in Table 2).



Fig. 1. Contributions to the Efficiency Index. Contributions of the three factors – Hurst exponent, fractal dimension, and approximate entropy – are illustrated here in percentage (y-axis). The currencies are ranked from the most efficient ones (from the left) to the least efficient ones (to the right). Note that not all the labels are visible on the x-axis due to the high number of analyzed currencies. Nonetheless, the values and contributions are present for all the currencies. The contributions are stacked. The bottom part represents the Hurst exponent contribution, the middle part illustrates the fractal dimension contribution, and the upper part shows the approximate entropy contribution. Hurst exponent plays an important role for all currencies and its contribution is quite stable across all currencies. For the most efficient ones, the contributions are slightly lower. The fractal dimension contribution is quite small for the most efficient markets and its value increases for the less efficient markets. The reverse is true for the approximate entropy contribution.

To further investigate the contribution of the three different parts of the Efficiency Index, i.e. Hurst exponent, fractal dimension, and approximate entropy, we present Fig. 1. We observe that overall Hurst exponent is the biggest contributor to the index. However, the strength of contribution varies with the efficiency ranking. For the most efficient currencies, Hurst exponent and approximate entropy play a similar role in the index. The influence of the latter declines with a decreasing efficiency, and vice versa for the former. The role of fractal dimension is also efficiency dependent. For the most efficient markets, it forms only a small fraction of the index but its role slightly increases for the less efficient currencies. For approximately the lower two thirds of the ranking, the contributions are rather stable with Hurst exponent at around 50%, and fractal dimension and approximate entropy each at around 25%. All three components of the Efficiency Index thus form its important parts. But apart from the most efficient markets, the long-term memory plays a prominent role. The gold prices in various currencies exhibit a persistent behavior with long-term trends even from the global perspective. Such stable results accentuate the advantages of using the adjusted methodology proposed here.

Returning back to the overall results which can be labeled as unexpected ones (contrary to the quite expected results found for the stock markets [26,27] and other commodities [28]), we highlight the specific connection between the gold market and the currency markets and further discuss potential causes.

The analyzed period of 2011 and 2014 covers very unorthodox times with regards to monetary policies of the developed world as reactions to the Global financial crisis, the Eurozone crisis, the Greek crisis and connected phenomena. Various waves of the quantitative easing (QE) in the USA and the UK, together with parallel actions of the European Central Bank eventually leading to the quantitative easing as well, have formed an enormous pressure on the relevant currencies and their depreciation. The first two waves of QE in the USA pushed the gold prices upwards as these rallied till the end of 2011. The last wave of the USA QE, which was much weaker than the previous two had no significant effect on the USD gold prices. The connection between the currency depreciation and the consequent gold price (in the given currency) boosting, together with a long-term effect of QE known in advance forms a perfect environment for inefficiency of the gold market. This is well in hand with most of the currencies the central banks of which participated in QE or other forms of practical money-printing being among the least efficient markets. It also further puts forward the gold's speculative asset status during the QE periods.

Such reasoning is further supported by gold being used as a hedge against inflation [49]. During the initial stages of QE, there was a serious concern about uncontrolled inflation as a reaction to the virtual money-printing. As investors were

hedging against expected inflation by purchasing gold, its price was pushed further up. In time, the concern slowly vanished as there were no signs of dangerous inflation pressures. Nonetheless, the predictability and inefficiency of the gold markets under QE currencies have come out as the final effect.

The following complementary explanation of the ranking structure can be quite counterintuitive in the efficient market logic. The fact that a central bank or a central authority of a country is transparent and holds up to its word can actually lead to market inefficiency. Consider a central bank announcing a new wave of QE. If the central bank is trustworthy, the investors will start behaving accordingly and maximize their profit by acting upon it. However, the QE process is a gradual one and it thus does not affect the market instantly but in steps. Putting these factors together leads us to a quite well predictable market behavior with relatively low risk assuming the authority holds up to its promises. From the other side, the authorities which are not too trustworthy are prone to change the announced policy repeatedly so that the shocks to the currency market are unpredictable. Such unpredictability leads to higher efficiency.

Additionally, the current situation at the foreign exchange markets has uncovered another potential source of inefficiency in the most traded currencies. In 2013, Bloomberg News reported that global regulators had started investigation of major banks in the foreign exchange markets for front-running orders and colluding to rig the foreign exchange rate benchmarks [50]. This affair is now referred to as the "forex probe" and it has been claimed that the exchange rates manipulation had been realized for about a decade. Such collusion goes majorly against the notion of market efficiency and it provides a firm ground to the reported results of currency efficiency ranking.

To summarize, the combination of gold prices and currencies forms a very interesting and unique structure, the dynamics of which is much different compared to other assets such as stock or commodity markets. We have shown that the least efficient gold prices are mostly the ones quoted in major currencies such as the US dollar, the Euro, and the British pound. On the other side of the spectrum, the most efficient gold prices are the ones quoted in smaller and less traded currencies. From the practitioners' perspective, we have two possibilities of utilizing the results. We can either speculate on gold prices in the major currencies, or we can hedge gold prices using the minor currencies to obtain stable and efficient market position. Only the time will tell whether the "forex probe" scandal and its resolution as well as the end of the quantitative easing(s) will bring major currencies closer to efficiency.

Acknowledgments

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement No. FP7-SSH-612955 (FinMaP) and the Czech Science Foundation project No. P402/12/G097 "DYME – Dynamic Models in Economics".

References

- [1] E. Fama, Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work, J. Finance 25 (1970) 383-417.
- [2] E. Fama, The behavior of stock market prices, J. Bus. 38 (1965) 34–105.
- [3] P. Samuelson, Proof that properly anticipated prices fluctuate randomly, Ind. Manag. Rev. 6 (1965) 41-49.
- [4] E. Fama, Efficient capital markets: II, J. Finance 46 (5) (1991) 1575-1617.
- [5] B. Malkiel, The efficient market hypothesis and its critics, J. Econ. Perspect. 17 (1) (2003) 59-82.
- [6] R. Cont, Empirical properties of asset returns: stylized facts and statistical issues, Quant. Finance 1 (2) (2001) 223-236.
- [7] R. Roll, Interest rates on monetary assets and commodity price index changes, J. Finance 27 (2) (1972) 251–277.
- [8] J.-P. Danthine, Martingale, market efficiency and commodity prices, Eur. Econ. Rev. 10 (1977) 1–17.
- [9] J. Frenkel, A monetary approach to the exchange rate: Doctrinal aspects and empirical evidence, Scand. J. Econ. 78 (2) (1976) 200–224.
- 10 W. Cornell, J. Dietrich, The efficiency of the market of foreign exchange under floating exchange rates, Rev. Econ. Stat. 60 (1) (1978) 111–120.
- [11] G. Booth, F. Kaen, Gold and silver spot prices and market information efficiency, Financ. Rev. 14 (1) (1979) 21–26.
- 12] A. Koutsoyiannis, A short-run pricing model for a speculative asset, tetest with data from the gold bullion market, Appl. Econ. 15 (1983) 563–581.
- [13] Y.-K. Ho, A test of the incrementally efficient market hypothesis for the London gold market, Econom. Lett. 19 (1) (1985) 67–70.
- [14] M. Frank, T. Stengos, Measuring the strangeness of gold and silver rates of return, Rev. Econom. Stud. 56 (4) (1989) 553-567.
- [15] R. Dunn Jr., J. Mutti, International Economics, Routledge, New York, NY, USA, 2004.
- [16] M. Levi, International Finance, Routledge, New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- [17] R. Feenstra, International Macroeconomics, Worth Publishers, New York, NY, USA, 2008.
- [18] A. Charles, O. Darné, J. Kim, Exchange-rate return predictability and the adaptive markets hypothesis: Evidence from major foreign exchange rates, J. Int. Money Financ. 31 (6) (2012) 1607–1626.
- [19] R. Ahmad, S. Rhee, Y. Wong, Foreign exchange market efficiency under recent crises: Asia-Pacific focus, J. Int. Money Financ. 31 (6) (2012) 1574–1592.
- [20] O. Al-Khazali, C. Pyun, D. Kim, Are exchange rate movements predictable in Asia-Pacific markets? evidence of random walk and martingale difference processes, Int. Rev. Econ. Financ. 21 (1) (2012) 221–231.
- [21] J. Olmo, K. Pilbeam, Uncovered interest parity and the efficiency of the foreign exchange market: a re-examination of the evidence, Int. J. Econ. Financ. 16 (2) (2011) 189–204.
- [22] Y.-C. Chen, K. Tsang, What does the yield curve tell us about exchange rate predictability? Rev. Econ. Stat. 95 (1) (2013) 185–205.
- [23] M. Bianco, M. Camacho, G. Quiros, Short-run forecasting of the euro-dollar exchange rate with economic fundamentals, J. Int. Money Financ. 31 (2) (2012) 377–396.
- [24] C. Engel, N. Mark, K. West, Factor model forecasts of exchange rates, Econometric Rev. 34 (1–2) (2015) 32–55.
- [25] A. Chaboud, B. Chiquoine, E. Hjalmarsson, C. Vega, Rise of the machines: Algorithmic trading in the foreign exchange market, J. Finance 69 (5) (2014) 2045–2084.
- [26] L. Kristoufek, M. Vosvrda, Measuring capital market efficiency: Global and local correlations structure, Physica A 392 (2013) 184–193.
- [27] L. Kristoufek, M. Vosvrda, Measuring capital market efficiency: long-term memory, fractal dimension and approximate entropy, Eur. Phys. J. B 87 (2014) 162.
- [28] L. Kristoufek, M. Vosvrda, Commodity futures and market efficiency, Energy Econ. 42 (2014) 50–57.
- [29] D. Cajueiro, B. Tabak, Ranking efficiency for emerging markets, Chaos Solitons Fractals 22 (2004) 349–352.

- [30] D. Cajueiro, B. Tabak, Ranking efficiency for emerging equity markets II, Chaos Solitons Fractals 23 (2005) 671–675.
- [31] T. Di Matteo, T. Aste, M. Dacorogna, Long-term memories of developed and emerging markets: Using the scaling analysis to characterize their stage of development, J. Bank. Finance 29 (2005) 827–851.
- [32] T. Di Matteo, Multi-scaling in finance, Quant. Finance 7 (1) (2007) 21-36.
- [33] L. Zunino, M. Zanin, B. Tabak, D. Pérez, O. Rosso, Complexity-entropy causality plane: A useful approach to quantify the stock market inefficiency, Physica A 389 (2010) 1891–1901.
- [34] L. Zunino, B.M. Tabak, F. Serinaldi, M. Zanin, D.G. Pérez, O.A. Rosso, Commodity predictability analysis with a permutation information theory approach, Physica A 390 (2011) 876–890.
- [35] A. Ortiz-Cruz, E. Rodriguez, C. Ibarra-Valdez, J. Alvarez-Ramirez, Efficiency of crude oil markets: Evidences from informational entropy analysis, Energy Policy 41 (2012) 365–373.
- [36] J. Geweke, S. Porter-Hudak, The estimation and application of long memory time series models, J. Time Series Anal. 4 (4) (1983) 221-238.
- [37] J. Beran, Statistics for Long-Memory Processes, in: Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability, vol. 61, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1994.
- [38] P. Robinson, Gaussian semiparametric estimation of long range dependence, Ann. Statist. 23 (5) (1995) 1630–1661.
- [39] M. Taqqu, W. Teverosky, W. Willinger, Estimators for long-range dependence: an empirical study, Fractals 3 (4) (1995) 785–798.
- [40] M. Taqqu, V. Teverovsky, On estimating the intensity of long-range dependence in finite and infinite variance time series, in: A Practical Guide To Heavy Tails: Statistical Techniques and Applications, 1996.
- [41] T. Di Matteo, T. Aste, M. Dacorogna, Scaling behaviors in differently developed markets, Physica A 324 (2003) 183–188.
- 42] J. Barunik, L. Kristoufek, On Hurst exponent estimation under heavy-tailed distributions, Physica A 389 (18) (2010) 3844–3855.
- [43] V. Teverovsky, M. Taqqu, W. Willinger, A critical look at lo's modified r/s statistic, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 80 (1–2) (1999) 211–227.
- [44] P.C.B. Phillips, K. Shimotsu, Local whittle estimation in nonstationary and unit root cases, Ann. Statist. 32 (2) (2004) 659–692.
- 45] T. Gneiting, M. Schlather, Stochastic models that separate fractal dimension and the hurst effect, SIAM Rev. 46 (2) (2004) 269–282.
- [46] T. Gneiting, H. Sevcikova, D. Percival, Estimators of fractal dimension: Assessing the roughness of time series and spatial data. Technical report, Department of Statistics, University of Washington, 2010.
- [47] S. Pincus, R. Kalman, Irregularity, volatility, risk, and financial market time series, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (38) (2004) 13709–13714.
- [48] S. Pincus, Approximate entropy as a measure of system complexity, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88 (1991) 2297–2301.
- [49] P.K. Narayan, S. Narayan, X. Zheng, Gold and oil futures: Are markets efficient? Appl. Energy 87 (2010) 3299–3303.
- [50] Bank of England Bank of England Foreign Exchange Market Investigation: A Report by Lord Grabiner QC. Technical report, Bank of England, 2014.