

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE GENERALIZED ITÔ FORMULA

MARTIN ONDREJÁT — JAN SEIDLER^c

(Communicated by Geza Wimmer)

ABSTRACT. For one-dimensional semimartingales, the Itô formula can be extended from C^2 -functions to C^1 -functions with a locally absolutely continuous derivative. We propose a new, different proof of this result, which is simple, straightforward and quite elementary, avoiding in particular the extensive theory of local times for semimartingales.

©2025
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

Let X be a real-valued continuous semimartingale and $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f(X)$ is again a semimartingale and the Itô formula provides its explicit decomposition into a local martingale and a continuous process of locally bounded variation. The assumption that f is twice continuously differentiable can be relaxed, in particular, the Itô formula holds without any change for functions $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with a locally absolutely continuous derivative, although the second derivative f'' is, in general, defined only almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} and is merely locally integrable. This result finds traditionally its application in the proof of the Feller test for non-explosion without unnecessary continuity hypotheses on the drift and diffusion coefficients; recently it has been applied e.g. in the study of the stochastic Camassa-Holm equation (see Remark 1.3 below for a more detailed discussion). Surprisingly, it seems difficult to find this version of the Itô formula explicitly stated: we know only about the proof in the third printing of the second edition of Protter's book [6] and as an exercise it appears in the textbook [3]. In both cases, it is derived as a consequence of the Meyer-Itô formula for δ -convex functions f , hence it depends on the rather heavy machinery of local times for semimartingales. In this paper we propose a direct proof that presupposes only a basic knowledge of stochastic analysis. (In Remark 1.2 below we provide a comparison of our approach with the standard one.)

It is worth mentioning that the generalized Itô formula is established in [6] in a more general setting of càdlàg (i.e., right-continuous with left-limits) semimartingales. We consider the general result as well and discuss the minor (and easy) changes that must be done in our proof.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60H05.

Keywords: continuous semimartingales, càdlàg semimartingales, the Itô formula.

This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic grant No. 22-12790S.

^c Corresponding author.

Let us fix some notation. By \mathcal{B} we denote the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} and by λ the Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{B} . Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, we set

$$\begin{aligned} AC^1(I) &= \{f \in C^1(I); f' \text{ absolutely continuous on } \bar{I}\}, \\ AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}) &= \{f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}); f \in AC^1(I) \text{ for any bounded open interval } I \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that if $f \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ then the second derivative $f''(s)$ exists at almost every point $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $f'' \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and f' is an absolutely continuous antiderivative of f'' .

If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous nondecreasing function, we shall occasionally denote by μ_f the Borel measure on I whose distribution function is f . By $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote the space of all $1 \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{R} .

We aim at proving the following generalized Itô formula.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let X be a real-valued continuous semimartingale defined on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbf{P})$ with a normal filtration. Let $f \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel function satisfying $g = f''$ λ -almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} . Then*

$$f(X_t) - f(X_0) = \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \tag{1.1}$$

for any $t \geq 0$ \mathbf{P} -almost surely.

In the course of the proof, we check that the second term on the right-hand side of (1.1) is well defined; this fact deserves being stated as a separate corollary.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let X be a real-valued continuous semimartingale defined on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbf{P})$ with a normal filtration. Then*

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \int_0^t |h(X_s)| d\langle X \rangle_s < \infty \text{ for any } t \geq 0 \right\} = 1 \tag{1.2}$$

whenever $h \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$.

In particular, if W is a one-dimensional Wiener process, then

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \int_0^t h(W_s) ds < \infty \text{ for any } t \geq 0 \right\} = 1$$

for all nonnegative locally integrable Borel functions $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, thus, as a byproduct, we get one implication in the Engelbert-Schmidt 0-1 law (see, e.g., [3: Proposition 3.6.27]).

Finally, let us turn to the extension of Proposition 1.1 to càdlàg semimartingales.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let X be a real-valued càdlàg semimartingale defined on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbf{P})$ with a normal filtration. Let $f \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel function satisfying $g = f''$ λ -almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} . Then*

$$f(X_t) - f(X_0) = \int_0^t f'(X_{s-}) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(X_{s-}) d[X]_s^c + \sum_{s \in (0,t]} [f(X_s) - f(X_{s-}) - f'(X_{s-}) \Delta X_s] \tag{1.3}$$

for any $t \geq 0$ \mathbf{P} -almost surely.

Recall that by $[X]^c$ the continuous part of the quadratic variation $[X]$ of the semimartingale X is denoted and $\Delta X_s = X_s - X_{s-}$. Again, as a consequence of Proposition 1.3, we get that for any real-valued càdlàg semimartingale X and $h \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$, the sum

$$\sum_{s \in (0, t]} [h(X_s) - h(X_{s-}) - h'(X_{s-})\Delta X_s]$$

converges absolutely.

Remark 1.1.

- (i) Clearly, f' is an antiderivative of g .
- (ii) Let $X = X_0 + A + M$ be the canonical decomposition of the semimartingale X into a continuous process A of a locally bounded variation and a continuous local martingale M , $A_0 = M_0 = 0$. Then $\langle X \rangle = \langle M \rangle$ and, by definition,

$$\int_0^\cdot f'(X_s) dX_s = \int_0^\cdot f'(X_s) dA_s + \int_0^\cdot f'(X_s) dM_s.$$

Both integrals on the right-hand side are well defined. Indeed, let $\omega \in \Omega$ be such that the trajectories $X(\cdot, \omega)$, $A(\cdot, \omega)$ and $\langle M \rangle(\cdot, \omega)$ are continuous and $A(\cdot, \omega)$ has bounded variation on $[0, t]$. Denote by $\tilde{A}(u, \omega)$ the variation of $A(\cdot, \omega)$ on the interval $[0, u]$, $u \geq 0$. The set $L = \{X(s, \omega); 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ is compact, f' is continuous, in particular locally bounded, so $f' \circ X(\cdot, \omega)$ is bounded on $[0, t]$ and

$$\int_0^t |f'(X_s(\omega))| d\tilde{A}_s(\omega) + \int_0^t |f'(X_s(\omega))|^2 d\langle M \rangle_s(\omega) < \infty.$$

This is, of course, well known, however, we shall use this argument so often that we decided to state it explicitly. (See e.g. [3: §3.2] or [6: Chapter II] for the very basic facts about stochastic integrals we use in this paper.)

- (iii) Proceeding in a completely analogous manner we can check that (1.2) is satisfied whenever h is, in addition, locally bounded. Hence Corollary 1.2 is non-trivial only for functions h which are locally integrable but not locally bounded.
- (iv) Let $N \in \mathcal{B}$, $\lambda(N) = 0$. Using Proposition 1.1 with the choice $f = 0$, $g = \mathbf{1}_N$, we arrive at

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_N(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s = 0 \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely,} \tag{1.4}$$

in particular,

$$\mathbf{P}\{\omega \in \Omega; (\mathbf{1}_N \circ X)(\cdot, \omega) = 0 \text{ } \mu_{\langle X \rangle(\omega)}\text{-almost everywhere on } [0, t]\} = 1.$$

- (v) Let $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be another Borel function satisfying $\tilde{g} = f''$ λ -almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} . Set $M = \{\tilde{g} \neq g\}$, then $\lambda(M) = 0$ and by (1.4) we know that $(\tilde{g}\mathbf{1}_M) \circ X = 0$ $\mu_{\langle X \rangle}$ -almost everywhere on $[0, t]$ \mathbf{P} -almost surely, whence

$$\int_0^t |\tilde{g}(X_s)| d\langle X \rangle_s \leq \int_0^t |(\tilde{g}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus M})(X_s)| d\langle X \rangle_s + \int_0^t |(\tilde{g}\mathbf{1}_M)(X_s)| d\langle X \rangle_s \leq \int_0^t |g(X_s)| d\langle X \rangle_s < \infty$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely; similarly we obtain

$$\int_0^t \tilde{g}(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s = \int_0^t g(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely.}$$

Therefore, in Proposition 1.1 we may replace g with \tilde{g} . In other words, Proposition 1.1 does not depend on a particular choice of a Borel function g as far as g satisfies $g = f''$ λ -almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} .

- (vi) The assumption $f \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is satisfied if f belongs to the Sobolev space $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ or, more generally, if the function $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ has a locally Lipschitz continuous derivative.
- (vii) Suppose that the assumptions of Proposition 1.1 are satisfied and, moreover, X is an Itô process. That is, there exist an n -dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) -Wiener process W and (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable processes a and σ such that $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\sigma \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n)$ \mathbf{P} -almost surely and

$$X = X_0 + \int_0^t a(s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely.}$$

Then

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t \left\{ f'(X_s) a(s) + \frac{1}{2} g(X_s) \|\sigma(s)\|^2 \right\} ds + \int_0^t f'(X_s) \sigma(s) dW_s \quad (1.5)$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely.

- (viii) Another generalized Itô formula for Itô processes was proposed by N.V. Krylov, see [4: §II.10]. It is a very useful result which holds for \mathbb{R}^d -valued processes as well. However, for $d = 1$ it is weaker than Proposition 1.1 in the form (1.5) as, roughly speaking, one has to assume also that $f'' \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and, \mathbf{P} -almost surely, a and $\|\sigma\|$ are bounded and $\|\sigma\|^2 > 0$ on $[0, t]$.

Remark 1.2. Let us compare briefly our proof of Proposition 1.1 with the standard one (see [6: Theorem IV.71] or [3: Problem 3.7.3 and a hint on p. 236]). If $f \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$, then f is δ -convex and the Radon measure $f''\lambda$ is its second derivative in the sense of distributions. Let g be as in Proposition 1.1 and X a continuous (real-valued) semimartingale, let us denote by $L(X) = (L_s^a(X), a \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0)$ its local time. By the Meyer-Itô formula

$$f(X_t) - f(X_0) = \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_t^a(X) g(a) da \quad (1.6)$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely. Properties of the local time $L(X)$ imply

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(a) L_t^a(X) da = \int_0^t g(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \quad (1.7)$$

by [6: Corollary 1 to Theorem IV.70] or [3: Theorem 3.7.1(iv)]. Applying (1.7) we see that (1.6) implies (1.1). (Note that (1.7) is proved in [6] only for bounded functions g ; in [3], the equality (1.7) is stated for nonnegative functions g but no argument why the integrals are finite is provided. However, it is easy to fill the gaps once we take into account that $L_t^\bullet(X)$ has a compact support \mathbf{P} -almost surely).

The proof of the Meyer-Itô formula, however, is much less elementary than the direct proof of Proposition 1.1 we propose in this paper.

Remark 1.3.

- (a) In our view, a basic application of Proposition 1.1 is in Feller’s theory of one-dimensional diffusions when an approach via stochastic differential equations is adopted (see e.g. [3: §5.5C] for a brief introduction to the topic). There one needs to apply the Itô formula to (Carathéodory) solutions of ordinary differential equations $Lu = 0$, $Lu = \pm 1$ and $Lu = u$ (with suitable initial or boundary conditions) where L is the Kolmogorov operator associated with a stochastic differential equation

$$dX = b(X) dX + \sigma(X) dW$$

and $b, \sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Borel functions such that

$$\frac{1 + |b|}{\sigma^2} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}).$$

These solutions are in $AC^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ but they belong to $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ only under an additional assumption that $b, \sigma \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$ on \mathbb{R} . This can be seen easily if explicit solutions are available as in the case of the scale function p solving $Lp = 0$, since

$$p: z \mapsto \int_a^z \exp\left(-\int_a^y \frac{2b(r)}{\sigma^2(r)} dr\right) dy$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (b) In the paper [1], the generalized Itô formula is applied many times to functions from the space $W^{2,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, either to functions of the type $x \mapsto x(|x| + 1)^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ (see e.g. [1: Proposition 3.2]), or to various cut-offs of unbounded smooth functions, see e.g. [1: formula (4.1)] for a typical choice.
- (c) Lyapunov function like $V_p = |\cdot|^p$ with $p < 2$ are used in nonexplosion and stability criteria for stochastic differential equations, see e.g. [2: §V.5] or [5: §4.1]. If $p \in (1, 2)$ then $V_p \in AC^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus C^2(\mathbb{R})$.

We shall provide a detailed proof of Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 in Section 2 and a sketch of the proof of Proposition 1.3 in Section 3.

2. Proof of Proposition 1.1

Proof. Clearly, we may fix a $t > 0$.

Step 1. Let $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally bounded Borel function, we define

$$\epsilon V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, s \mapsto \int_0^s V d\lambda, \quad \epsilon_2 V = \epsilon(\epsilon V).$$

(Henceforward, we use the convention $\int_0^s \dots d\lambda = -\int_s^0 \dots d\lambda$ for $s < 0$.) Obviously, ϵV is locally absolutely continuous and $\epsilon_2 V \in AC^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us denote by \mathcal{S} the set of all locally bounded

Borel function $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{e}_2 V(X_t) - \mathbf{e}_2 V(X_0) = \int_0^t \mathbf{e} V(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t V(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely. Plainly, \mathcal{S} is a vector space and $C(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ by the classical Itô formula.

Step 2. We claim: if $V_n \in \mathcal{S}$, $n \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{s \in L} |V_n(s)| < \infty \quad \text{for any compact set } L \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

and $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $V = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n$ pointwise on \mathbb{R} then $V \in \mathcal{S}$. By the dominated convergence theorem, which may be used owing to the assumption (2.1), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{e} V_n(u) = \mathbf{e} V(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{e}_2 V_n(u) = \mathbf{e}_2 V(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.2)$$

moreover, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{s \in L} |\mathbf{e} V_n(s)| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{s \in L} |\mathbf{e}_2 V_n(s)| < \infty \quad \text{for any compact set } L \subseteq \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.2)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{e}_2 V_n(X_t) - \mathbf{e}_2 V_n(X_0)] = \mathbf{e}_2 V(X_t) - \mathbf{e}_2 V(X_0) \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely,}$$

and the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mathbf{e} V_n(X_s) dA_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{e} V(X_s) dA_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely,}$$

where we used (2.3) with the choice $L = \{X(u, \omega); 0 \leq u \leq t\}$ to get an integrable majorant. Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t |\mathbf{e} V_n(X_s) - \mathbf{e} V(X_s)|^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = 0 \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely,}$$

whence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mathbf{e} V_n(X_s) dM_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{e} V(X_s) dM_s \quad \text{in } \mathbf{P}\text{-probability.}$$

Finally, the same reasoning yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t V_n(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s = \int_0^t V(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely}$$

and our claim follows.

Step 3. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary open set, then there exist $f_n \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq f_n \nearrow \mathbf{1}_U$, thus $\mathbf{1}_U \in \mathcal{S}$ by Step 2. Set $\mathcal{A} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; \mathbf{1}_B \in \mathcal{S}\}$. To prove that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ it suffices to show that \mathcal{A} is a Dynkin class as \mathcal{A} contains the Euclidean topology which is a π -system. However, if $\Gamma, \Lambda \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Lambda \supseteq \Gamma$ then $\Lambda \setminus \Gamma \in \mathcal{A}$ due to the linear structure of \mathcal{S} , and if $\Gamma_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Gamma_n \nearrow \Gamma$, then $\mathbf{1}_\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ by Step 2. Therefore, all simple Borel functions on \mathbb{R} are in \mathcal{S} and invoking Step 2 twice we can check easily that all bounded Borel functions and then all locally bounded Borel functions are in \mathcal{S} .

Step 4. Let f and g satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 1.1. Then the functions $g_n = g\mathbf{1}_{\{|g|\leq n\}}$, $n \geq 1$, are bounded, so we know from Step 3 that

$$\mathbf{e}_2 g_n(X_t) - \mathbf{e}_2 g_n(X_0) = \int_0^t \mathbf{e} g_n(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_n(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \quad (2.4)$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely. Plainly, we may assume that $g \geq 0$, otherwise we would consider the nonnegative and nonpositive parts of g separately. Since $0 \leq g_n \leq g$, a simple calculation shows that

$$|\mathbf{e} g_n| \leq |\mathbf{e} g| \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{e}_2 g_n| \leq |\mathbf{e}_2 g| \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.5)$$

As g is locally integrable, the functions $\mathbf{e} g$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 g$ are continuous and (2.5) implies that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{s \in L} |\mathbf{e} g_n(s)| \leq \sup_{s \in L} |\mathbf{e} g| < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{s \in L} |\mathbf{e}_2 g_n(s)| \leq \sup_{s \in L} |\mathbf{e}_2 g| < \infty$$

for every compact set $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{e} g_n(u) = \mathbf{e} g(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{e}_2 g_n(u) = \mathbf{e}_2 g(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.6)$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. Therefore, an argument analogous to that employed in Step 2 shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{e}_2 g_n(X_t) - \mathbf{e}_2 g_n(X_0)] = \mathbf{e}_2 g(X_t) - \mathbf{e}_2 g(X_0) \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mathbf{e} g_n(X_s) dX_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{e} g(X_s) dX_s \quad \text{in } \mathbf{P}\text{-probability.}$$

Assume further that the estimate

$$\int_0^t |g(X_s)| d\langle X \rangle_s < \infty \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely} \quad (2.7)$$

has been already established. Then we may use g as an integrable majorant to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t g_n(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s = \int_0^t g(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely,}$$

hence passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.4) we arrive at

$$\mathbf{e}_2 g(X_t) - \mathbf{e}_2 g(X_0) = \int_0^t \mathbf{e} g(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely. However,

$$\mathbf{e}_2 g: s \mapsto f(s) - f(0) - f'(0)s,$$

therefore

$$f(X_s) - f'(0)X_s - f(X_0) + f'(0)X_0 = \int_0^t \{f'(X_s) - f'(0)\} dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely and (1.1) follows.

It remains to check (2.7). Recall that we denoted by $X = X_0 + A + M$ the canonical decomposition of X and by \tilde{A} the variation of the process A .

By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t g_n(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s = \int_0^t g(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely,}$$

therefore, it suffices to prove that the sequence

$$\left(\int_0^t g_n(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s \right)_{n=1}^\infty = \left(\mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_t) - \mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_0) - \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} g_n(X_s) dX_s \right)_{n=1}^\infty$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely has a bounded subsequence. By (2.5)

$$|\mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_t) - \mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_0)| \leq |\mathfrak{e}_2 g(X_t)| + |\mathfrak{e}_2 g(X_0)|$$

and the sequence $(\mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_t) - \mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_0))_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded \mathbf{P} -almost surely. Further, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} g_n(X_s) dA_s \right| &\leq \int_0^t |\mathfrak{e} g_n(X_s)| d\tilde{A}_s \\ &\leq \int_0^t |\mathfrak{e} g(X_s)| d\tilde{A}_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Finally, owing to (2.5), (2.6) and the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t |\mathfrak{e} g_n(X_s) - \mathfrak{e} g(X_s)|^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = 0 \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely,}$$

consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} g_n(X_s) dM_s = \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} g(X_s) dM_s \quad \text{in } \mathbf{P}\text{-probability,}$$

and there exists a subsequence (g_{n_k}) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} g_{n_k}(X_s) dM_s = \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} g(X_s) dM_s \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely.} \tag{2.9}$$

Convergent sequences are bounded, so combining (2.8) and (2.9), we complete the proof. \square

3. Proof of Proposition 1.3

Proof. We shall use the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 1.1. By the usual Itô formula,

$$\mathfrak{e}_2 V(X_t) - \mathfrak{e}_2 V(X_0) = \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} V(X_{s-}) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t V(X_{s-}) d[X]_s^c + \mathfrak{S}_t V$$

with

$$\mathfrak{S}_t V = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} [\mathfrak{e}_2 V(X_s) - \mathfrak{e}_2 V(X_{s-}) - \mathfrak{e} V(X_{s-}) \Delta X_s]$$

\mathbf{P} -almost surely whenever $V \in C(\mathbb{R})$. We have to show that exactly the same approximation procedure as in the continuous case may be employed, i.e., that we can handle the additional term $\mathfrak{S}_t V$ using the same approximations. We may assume from the beginning of the proof that $g \geq 0$. Then, tracing the proof of Proposition 1.1, we can check easily that it suffices to consider only nonnegative nondecreasing approximating sequences. Hence to check that Step 2 of the proof remains valid, we have to prove that if $V_n \in \mathcal{I}$, $n \geq 1$, (2.1) is satisfied and $0 \leq V_1 \leq V_2 \leq \dots \nearrow V$ pointwise on \mathbb{R} , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_t V_n = \mathfrak{S}_t V \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-almost surely.} \tag{3.1}$$

Note that if $h \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $h'' \geq 0$ λ -almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} , then a straightforward calculation shows that

$$h(x) - h(y) - h'(y)(x - y) = \int_y^x \int_y^z h''(r) dr dz \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, if $h_1, h_2 \in AC_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \leq h_1'' \leq h_2''$ λ -almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} , then

$$h_2(x) - h_2(y) - h_2'(y)(x - y) \geq h_1(x) - h_1(y) - h_1'(y)(x - y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

by (3.2). Consequently, $0 \leq \mathfrak{S}_t V_1 \leq \mathfrak{S}_t V_2 \leq \dots$ and (3.1) follows by the monotone convergence theorem. Once Step 2 is established, Step 3 and the first part of Step 4 require no essential changes. To complete the proof of (1.3) it remains to show that the sequence $(\mathfrak{S}_t g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ \mathbf{P} -almost surely has a bounded subsequence, where $g_n = g \mathbf{1}_{\{g \leq n\}}$, $n \geq 1$. However,

$$\left(\int_0^t g_n(X_{s-}) d[X]_s^c + \mathfrak{S}_t g_n \right)_{n=1}^\infty = \left(\mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_t) - \mathfrak{e}_2 g_n(X_0) - \int_0^t \mathfrak{e} g_n(X_{s-}) dX_s \right)_{n=1}^\infty,$$

the sequence on the left-hand side consists of sums of two nonnegative terms and \mathbf{P} -almost sure existence of a bounded subsequence of the sequence on the the right-hand side may be justified as in the proof of Proposition 1.1. \square

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the four anonymous referees for their many useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] GALIMBERTI, L.—HOLDEN, H.—KARLSEN, K. H.—PANG, P. H. C.: *Global existence of dissipative solutions to the Camassa-Holm equation with transport noise*, J. Differential Equations **387** (2024), 1–103.
 [2] HAS'MINSKIĬ, R. Z.: *Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations*, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980.
 [3] KARATZAS, I.—SHREVE, S. E.: *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1991.
 [4] KRYLOV, N. V.: *Controlled Diffusion Processes*, Springer, New York, 1980.
 [5] MAO, X.: *Exponential Stability of Stochastic Differential Equations*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994.
 [6] PROTTER, P. E.: *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, 2nd ed., 3rd printing, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

Received 12. 5. 2024
Accepted 1. 8. 2024

*Institute of Information Theory and Automation
of the Czech Academy of Sciences
Pod vodárenskou věží 4
182 00 Praha 8
CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail: ondrejat@utia.cas.cz
seidler@utia.cas.cz*

AUTHOR COPY